

## **DEVELOPMENT OF NOVEL TOTAL INTRAVENOUS ANESTHESIA PROTOCOL USING CONSTANT RATE INFUSION IN GOATS DURING PAIN MANAGEMENT**

M. Asif<sup>1</sup>, M. A. Khan<sup>1,3</sup>, H. Akbar<sup>1</sup> and M. O. Omer<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Veterinary Surgery & Pet Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Sciences, <sup>2</sup>Department of Pharmacology & Toxicology, Faculty of Bio-Sciences, University of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan, 54000. <sup>3</sup>Riphah International University, Riphah College of Veterinary Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan, 54000.

Corresponding author's Email: [muhammad.asif@uvas.edu.pk](mailto:muhammad.asif@uvas.edu.pk)

### **ABSTRACT**

The study was aimed to develop a suitable total intravenous anesthesia protocol by comparative efficacy of three different combinations of detomidine, midazolam, propofol and ketamine in goats undergoing rumenotomy. In total, 18 female goats were divided into 3 treatment groups A, B and C comprising 6 animals each. In Group-A, after sedation with detomidine @ 2.5 µg/kg, induction was achieved with propofol @ 4 mg/kg and maintenance with constant rate infusion of (detomidine 2.5 µg/kg/hr + propofol 9.6 mg/kg/hr). Similarly, in Group-B, after sedation with midazolam @ 0.25 mg/kg, induction was done with ketamine @ 4 mg/kg and maintenance with infusion of (midazolam 0.25 mg/kg/hr + ketamine 2.4 mg/kg/hr). Whereas, in Group-C, after sedation with (detomidine 1.25 µg/kg + midazolam 0.12 mg/kg), induction was done with (propofol 2 mg/kg + ketamine 2 mg/kg) and maintenance with combination of all drugs (detomidine 1.2 µg/kg/hr + midazolam 0.12 mg/kg/hr + propofol 4.5 mg/kg/hr + ketamine 1.2 mg/kg/hr) using a syringe-driving pump. Anesthetic, clinico-physiological and haematobiochemical parameters were evaluated. A better quality anesthesia with rapid and smooth induction, excellent muscle relaxation and rapid recovery was noticed in group C than group A and B. The statistical analysis indicated significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) for heart & respiratory rates, diastolic arterial pressure, partial pressure of oxygen and oxygen hemoglobin saturation among or within all groups except within group C. However, non-significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were observed among or within all groups for packed cell volume, total erythrocyte count, total plasma protein and liver & renal function parameters. In conclusion, total intravenous anesthesia maintained with detomidine-midazolam-propofol-ketamine proved to be the best drug combinations taking into account the anesthetic, clinico-physiological and haematobiochemical parameters during pain management.

**Keywords:** Detomidine, midazolam, propofol, ketamine, constant rate infusion, goat.

Published first online August 13, 2021

Published final March 15, 2022.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Total intravenous anesthesia and inhalation anesthesia are two of the common types of general anesthesia. Total intravenous anesthesia provided better post-operative recovery with fewer post-operative side effects than inhalation anesthesia (Soliz *et al.*, 2017; Elbakry *et al.*, 2018; Iguchi *et al.*, 2019). Total intravenous anesthesia referred to administration of solely an intravenous anesthetic agents via repeat bolus or constant rate infusion technique. The constant rate infusion technique was found better and safer than the repeat bolus infusion technique (Njoku, 2015). Ketamine, thiopental, propofol,  $\alpha$ -2 agonists, opioids and lidocaine were reported as most commonly used intravenous anesthetic or sedative agents (Beths, 2017). Among  $\alpha$ -2 agonist, detomidine as compared with xylazine, produced good quality sedation and better plane of surgical anesthesia in horses treated with midazolam-ketamine (Smith *et al.*, 2020a). The administration of detomidine provided sedation suitable for a short, minimally invasive

procedure in dogs (Messenger *et al.*, 2016), cats (Smith *et al.*, 2020b), alpacas (Chow *et al.*, 2020), horse (Seddighi *et al.*, 2019), donkeys (Lizarraga *et al.*, 2016) and rabbits (Williams *et al.*, 2017). Midazolam is imidazobenzodiazepine derivative that had been reported as sedative, muscle relaxant, anticonvulsant (Dzikiti *et al.*, 2009; Shyken *et al.*, 2019) and anti-epileptic drug (Hamano *et al.*, 2019) with minimum cardiovascular effects (Dzikiti *et al.*, 2009; Kropf *et al.*, 2018). Premedication with midazolam compared to ketamine alone was found to be associated with smooth induction and excellent muscle relaxation in ponies (Allison *et al.*, 2017). Propofol has proven to be the most suitable agent for total intravenous anesthesia protocol due to its hypnotic (Chen *et al.*, 2020; Parks *et al.*, 2016), anti-inflammatory (Zheng *et al.*, 2018) and non-cumulative effects. Propofol-based anesthesia was associated with improved survival and lower post-operative complications than desflurane based-anesthesia (Lai *et al.*, 2019; Lai *et al.*, 2020; Wu *et al.*, 2018). The ketamine has recently gained an increasing interest due to its wide

margin of safety, role in pain management (Cohen *et al.*, 2018; Schwenk *et al.*, 2018; Orhurhu *et al.*, 2019) and antidepressant effects (Zanos and Gould, 2018; Fukumoto *et al.*, 2017).

A most suitable sedative and anesthetic combination is need of all time to conduct a successful surgery in any specie. To the knowledge of the author, there is a scarcity of published data regarding total intravenous anesthesia (TIVA) protocol and its delivery by constant rate infusion in the small ruminant. The present project, therefore, was designed to develop a suitable total intravenous anesthesia protocol by comparative efficacy of three different combinations of detomidine, midazolam, propofol and ketamine using constant rate infusion in goats undergoing rumenotomy.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Ethical approval:** The Ethical Review Committee of University of Veterinary & Animal Sciences approved this study (No. DR/551).

**Experimental design:** The study was carried out on 18 goats aged between 09-12 months with average body weight of 26-32 kg. The clinico-physiological parameters were monitored regularly throughout the observation period. The goats were assessed to be in good health based on physical and haematobiochemical values. Feeding was withheld at least 12 hours but had access to water for up to 2 hours before anesthesia. An electronic scale was used to weigh the animals 30 min before the experiment. In total, 18 female goats were randomly divided into 3 treatment groups A, B and C comprising 6 animals each. Anesthesia was maintained for 60 min and rumenotomy was performed in all groups. An overview of preanesthetic and anesthetic medication is given below.

### Pre-anesthetic and anesthetic medication using constant rate infusion in goats.

Groups	Premedication(IM)	Induction (I/V)	Maintenance (I/V)
Group A	Detomidine @ 2.5 µg/kg	Propofol @ 4 mg/kg	Detomidine @ 2.5 µg/kg/hr + propofol @ 0.16 mg/kg/min
Group B	Midazolam @ 0.25 mg/kg	Ketamine @ 4 mg/kg	Midazolam @ 0.25 mg/kg/hr + ketamine Hcl @ 0.04 mg/kg/min
Group C	Detomidine @ 1.2 µg/kg + Midazolam @ 0.12 mg/kg	Propofol @ 2 mg/kg + ketamine @ 2 mg/kg	Detomidine @ 1.2 µg/kg/hr + midazolam @ 0.12 mg/kg/hr + propofol @ 0.075 mg/kg/min + ketamine @ 0.02 mg/kg/min

Detomidine; Domosedan injection, Orion Corporation, Finland  
 Midazolam; Dormicum injection, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France  
 Propofol ; Fresofol 1 % injection, Fresenius Kabi, Austria  
 Ketamine ; Ketalite injection, Elice Pharma, Pakistan

**Surgical procedure:** The animals were prepared aseptically for rumenotomy. After aseptic preparation of the operative site, rumenotomy was performed through a paramedian incision, using the technique described by Baird (2013). A vertical skin incision approximately 10 cm in length was given on left mid flank starting just ventral to lumbar vertebrae. The subcutaneous tissue, external & internal abdominal oblique muscle, transverse abdominis muscle, deep iliac fascia and parietal layer of peritoneum were incised along the same line. The rumen was exteriorized and packed off with sterilized gauze. A vertical incision approximately 8 cm in length was given over the least vascular portion of greater curvature of rumen. After this, the rumen was explored to remove foreign materials like plastic bags. The rumenotomy incision was closed using vicryle # 2 with a double layer Cushing pattern. The laparotomy incision was sutured in three layers. The peritoneum along-with muscles were

closed in first layer using vicryle # 2 in a simple continuous pattern. Similarly, the subcutaneous tissues were sutured in second layer using vicryle # 2 in simple continuous fashion. The skin was sutured using monofilament silk # 2 in a simple interrupted fashion. Anesthetic, clinico-physiological and haematobiochemical parameters were evaluated.

### Parameters evaluated

**Anesthesia parameters:** The induction time, duration of anesthesia and total recovery time were recorded throughout the observation period. The qualities of induction, muscle relaxation and recovery were assessed following Ghurashi *et al.* (2016).

**Clinico-physiological parameters:** Heart & respiratory rates, rectal temperature, blood pressure (SAP, DAP, MAP), the partial pressure (PaO<sub>2</sub>, PaCO<sub>2</sub>) and haemoglobin saturation (SpO<sub>2</sub>) were monitored at 0 min

before treatment, 1 min after induction and thereafter, every 10-minute intervals during maintenance of anesthesia. Littman stethoscope (Littman Classic II, USA) was used to monitor the heart rate and Medicare digital thermometer (distributed by MANA & Co, Pakistan) was used to measure the rectal temperature. Systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure were measured by placing the non-invasive blood pressure monitor (Surgivet; Smith Medical PM, Inc., Waukesha, USA) around the antebrachium of left forelimb. Similarly, haemoglobin oxygen saturation was measured by placing the pulse oxymeter (Nonin Medical Inc., Minneapolis, USA) around the tongue. Arterial blood samples were collected anaerobically into 2 ml heparinized syringes by inserting 20-gauge catheter in the left auricular artery and the partial pressure of oxygen and carbon dioxide were measured by blood gas analyzer (i15 Vet Eden Shenzhen, China).

**Haematobiochemical parameters:** Blood samples (Approx. 5 ml) were collected in plain tubes via jugular vein puncturing with 23-G needle at 0, 15, 30 and 60 min during the observation period. After collection, the blood was centrifuged to harvest the serum and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Samples, thereafter, were delivered to quality operation laboratory (WTO, UVAS, Pakistan) for hematological and biochemical analysis. The PCV, TEC, TLC and hemoglobin concentration were measured using hematology analyzer (Beckman coulter, Fullerton, CA). Serum ALT, AST, ALP, BUN and creatinine were measured using autoanalyser (BT-3000, Biotecnica, Italy). The blood glucose concentration was measured using glucose meter (Accu- Chek, Roche Diagnostic Auckland, New Zealand). The cortisol level was measured using an enzyme-immunoassay technique with serial number EIA-1887 (DRG Diagnostic, DRG instrument GMBH, Murbarg, Germany).

**Statistical Analysis:** Statistical analysis was performed using the One-Way Analysis Of Variance in Statistical Analysis Software (SAS) 9.1 version at ( $p < 0.05$ ). Significant means at different time intervals were compared through Duncan's Multiple Range Test. Fisher's Least Significant Difference Test was used to compare significant means among the treatment groups at probability level of 0.05.

## RESULTS

**Evaluation of anesthetic parameters:** The comparison among the treatment groups indicated significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) for sedation, analgesia, induction, muscle relaxation, recovery, induction time, duration of anesthesia and total recovery time (Table 1). The higher sedation scores were observed in group C and A compared to group B. Similarly, an excellent analgesia and muscle relaxation quality scores were noticed in the

animals received the treatment C than those received the treatment A and B. Moreover, smooth induction and smooth recovery quality scores were also found in the animals received the treatment C compared to those received the treatment A and B. The animals receiving the treatment C resulted in minimum induction time, maximum duration of anesthesia and prolonged recovery time that showed significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) difference from those received the treatment A and B.

**Evaluation of physiological parameters:** The comparison among the treatment groups indicated significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) for heart & respiratory rates and non-significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were observed for rectal temperature after treatment (Table 2). The highest heart and respiratory rates were found in the animals receiving the treatment B than those received the treatments C and A at different time intervals after treatment. The highest rectal temperature, in contrast, was found in group C than group A and B. The statistical analysis indicated a non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) decreasing trend of heart & respiratory rates within group C and a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) decreasing trend within group A. A significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) increasing trend, in contrast, was noticed within group B. The rectal temperature also showed a non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) decreasing trend within all groups (Table 2).

**Evaluation of blood pressure:** Effects of various treatments on blood pressure are presented in Table 3. The comparison among the treatment groups indicated significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) for arterial blood pressure. The minimum deviation from baseline values were found in group C than group A and B. The goats receiving the treatment C showed higher values of systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure that are close to normal physiological values compared with those received the treatments A and B. Statistically, a significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) decreasing trends of systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure were observed within all groups except the diastolic arterial pressure within group C (Table 3).

**Evaluation of oxygen haemoglobin saturation, the partial pressure of oxygen and carbon dioxide:** The comparison among the treatment groups indicated significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) for the partial pressure of oxygen, carbon dioxide and oxygen haemoglobin saturation at different time intervals except the partial pressure of oxygen at 1 and 10 min after treatment (Table 4). The animals received the treatment C showed a minimum deviation from normal physiological values compared to those received the treatments A and B. The highest values of the partial pressure of oxygen and oxygen haemoglobin saturation were found in group C compared to group A and B. Whereas, the highest values of the partial pressure of carbon dioxide were noticed in

group A than group B and C. The statistical analysis revealed a non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ) decreasing trend of partial pressure of oxygen and oxygen haemoglobin saturation within group C and a significant ( $p<0.05$ ) decreasing trend within groups A and B with a peak decrease at 60 min. A significant ( $p<0.05$ ) increasing trend of the partial pressure of carbon dioxide, however, was observed within all groups with peak increase at 60 min (Table 4).

**Evaluation of haematological parameters:** Effects of various anesthetic protocols on haematological parameters are presented in Table 5. The results indicated non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ) effects of all treatment protocols on total erythrocyte count and packed cell volume among or within groups during the observation period. Moreover, non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ) effects among the groups and significant ( $p<0.05$ ) effects within groups were noticed for total leukocyte count. The goats receiving the treatment C showed a minimum deviation from normal baseline values compared to those received

the treatment A and B for all haematological parameters. The highest values of packed cell volume were noticed in group C than groups A and B. The highest values of total erythrocyte and leukocyte count, in contrast, were found in group B than groups A and C (Table 5).

**Evaluation of biochemical parameters:** The results indicated significant ( $p<0.05$ ) impacts of all treatment protocols on serum glucose and cortisol level and non-significant ( $p>0.05$ ) impacts on total plasma protein among or within groups during the observation period (Table 6). The minimum deviation from baseline values, however, were observed in the animals receiving the treatment C than those received the treatments A and B for all biochemical parameters. The highest values of serum glucose were found in group A than group B and C at 15, 30 and 60 min following the treatment. The highest values of total plasma protein, in contrast, were observed in group C compared to group A and B. The highest cortisol level was observed in group B than group A and C.

**Table 3. Effects of various anesthetic protocols on arterial blood pressure using constant rate infusion in goats undergoing rumenotomy.**

Parameters	Time	Treatment groups			p-value
		A	B	C	
SAP (mm Hg)	0 min	120.00±1.15 <sup>aA</sup>	118.00±1.65 <sup>aA</sup>	121.00±1.15 <sup>aA</sup>	0.3025
	1 min	113.00±1.15 <sup>bB</sup>	112.00±1.65 <sup>bB</sup>	118.00±1.15 <sup>abA</sup>	0.0141
	10 min	111.00±1.15 <sup>bcB</sup>	110.00±1.65 <sup>bcB</sup>	117.00±1.15 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0044
	20 min	110.00±1.15 <sup>bcdB</sup>	109.00±1.65 <sup>bcB</sup>	117.00±1.15 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0014
	30 min	108.00±1.15 <sup>cdeB</sup>	107.00±1.65 <sup>bcB</sup>	116.00±1.15 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0004
	40 min	107.00±1.15 <sup>deB</sup>	106.00±1.65 <sup>cB</sup>	116.00±1.15 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0001
	50 min	106.00±1.15 <sup>eB</sup>	105.00±1.65 <sup>cB</sup>	115.00±1.15 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0001
	60 min	106.00±1.15 <sup>eB</sup>	105.00±1.65 <sup>cB</sup>	115.00±1.15 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0001
	<b>p-value</b>	<.0001	<.0001	0.0156	
	DAP (mm Hg)	0 min	80.00±1.71 <sup>aA</sup>	78.00±1.39 <sup>aA</sup>	81.00±1.65 <sup>aA</sup>
1 min		73.00±1.71 <sup>bB</sup>	72.00±1.39 <sup>bB</sup>	78.00±1.65 <sup>abA</sup>	0.0385
10 min		71.00±1.71 <sup>bcB</sup>	70.00±1.39 <sup>bcB</sup>	77.00±1.65 <sup>abA</sup>	0.0148
20 min		70.00±1.71 <sup>bcB</sup>	69.00±1.39 <sup>bcdB</sup>	77.00±1.65 <sup>abA</sup>	0.0055
30 min		68.00±1.71 <sup>bcB</sup>	67.00±1.39 <sup>cdB</sup>	76.00±1.65 <sup>abA</sup>	0.0021
40 min		67.00±1.71 <sup>cB</sup>	66.00±1.39 <sup>cdB</sup>	76.00±1.65 <sup>abA</sup>	0.0008
50 min		66.00±1.71 <sup>cB</sup>	65.00±1.39 <sup>dB</sup>	75.00±1.65 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0008
60 min		66.00±1.71 <sup>cB</sup>	65.00±1.39 <sup>dB</sup>	75.00±1.65 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0008
<b>p-value</b>		<.0001	<.0001	0.2304	
MAP (mm Hg)		0 min	93.33±0.93 <sup>aA</sup>	91.33±1.01 <sup>aA</sup>	94.33±1.18 <sup>aA</sup>
	1 min	86.33±0.93 <sup>bB</sup>	85.33±1.01 <sup>bB</sup>	91.33±1.18 <sup>abA</sup>	0.0022
	10 min	84.33±0.93 <sup>bcB</sup>	83.33±1.01 <sup>bcB</sup>	90.33±1.18 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0005
	20 min	83.33±0.93 <sup>cdB</sup>	82.33±1.01 <sup>bcdB</sup>	90.33±1.18 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0001
	30 min	81.33±0.93 <sup>deB</sup>	80.33±1.01 <sup>cdeB</sup>	89.33±1.18 <sup>ba</sup>	<.0001
	40 min	80.33±0.93 <sup>eB</sup>	79.33±1.01 <sup>deB</sup>	89.33±1.18 <sup>ba</sup>	<.0001
	50 min	79.33±0.93 <sup>eB</sup>	78.33±1.01 <sup>eB</sup>	88.33±1.18 <sup>ba</sup>	<.0001
	60 min	79.33±0.93 <sup>eB</sup>	78.33±1.01 <sup>eB</sup>	88.33±1.18 <sup>ba</sup>	<.0001
	<b>p-value</b>	<.0001	<.0001	0.0196	

Different superscripts (A-B) in column indicate significant differences ( $p<0.05$ ) among the groups

Different superscripts (a-e) in row indicate significant differences ( $p<0.05$ ) within groups

**Table 1. Mean ( $\pm$ S.E.) values of various anesthetic parameters after administration of detomidine, midazolam, propofol and ketamine using constant rate infusion in goats undergoing rumenotomy.**

Treatment groups	Sed. Score	Anal. Score	Ind. Score	M. Relax Score	Recov. Score	I.T (Sec)	D.o.A (min)	T.R.T (min)
A Deto + Prop	4.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	25.33 $\pm$ 1.20 <sup>b</sup>	66.33 $\pm$ 0.42 <sup>b</sup>	83.12 $\pm$ 0.51 <sup>b</sup>
B Mid Ket + Det+Mid	3.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	2.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	3.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	2.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	35.00 $\pm$ 1.15 <sup>a</sup>	63.50 $\pm$ 0.43 <sup>c</sup>	78.08 $\pm$ 0.87 <sup>c</sup>
C + Prop+ Ket	4.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>a</sup>	1.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>c</sup>	1.00 $\pm$ 0.00 <sup>b</sup>	16.33 $\pm$ 1.12 <sup>c</sup>	70.50 $\pm$ 0.62 <sup>a</sup>	98.49 $\pm$ 1.38 <sup>a</sup>
<b>p-value</b>	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001

Deto is detomidine; mid is midazolam; Prop is propofol; Ket is ketamine; Sed. is sedation; Anal is analgesia; Ind. is induction; M. Relax is muscle relaxation; I.T is induction time; Recov. is recovery; D.o.A is duration of anesthesia; T.R.T is total recovery time; Sec is second and min is minute.

**Table 2. Effects of various anesthetic protocols on clinico-physiological parameters using constant rate infusion in goats undergoing rumenotomy.**

Parameters	Time	Treatment groups			p-value
		A	B	C	
Heart (beats/min)	0 min	61.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>Aa</sup>	62.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>cA</sup>	62.00 $\pm$ 0.73 <sup>aA</sup>	0.3147
	1 min	57.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>Bc</sup>	67.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>dA</sup>	61.33 $\pm$ 0.56 <sup>abB</sup>	<.0001
	10 min	56.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>bcC</sup>	69.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>cA</sup>	61.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>abB</sup>	<.0001
	20 min	55.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>cdC</sup>	70.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>cA</sup>	61.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>abB</sup>	<.0001
	30 min	54.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>deC</sup>	72.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>bA</sup>	61.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>abB</sup>	<.0001
	40 min	53.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>efC</sup>	73.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>abA</sup>	60.50 $\pm$ 0.43 <sup>bB</sup>	<.0001
	50 min	52.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>fgC</sup>	73.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>abA</sup>	60.17 $\pm$ 0.31 <sup>bB</sup>	<.0001
	60 min	51.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>Gc</sup>	74.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>aA</sup>	60.00 $\pm$ 0.26 <sup>bB</sup>	<.0001
	<b>p-value</b>	<.0001	<.0001	0.0649	
	Respiratory Rate (breaths/min)	0 min	15.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>aA</sup>	15.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>fA</sup>	15.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>aA</sup>
1 min		13.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>bB</sup>	17.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>eA</sup>	14.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>bB</sup>	<.0001
10 min		12.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>bcC</sup>	18.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>deA</sup>	14.33 $\pm$ 0.33 <sup>abB</sup>	<.0001
20 min		12.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>bcC</sup>	18.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>deA</sup>	14.17 $\pm$ 0.31 <sup>abB</sup>	<.0001
30 min		11.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>cdC</sup>	19.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>cdA</sup>	14.00 $\pm$ 0.26 <sup>bB</sup>	<.0001
40 min		11.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>cdC</sup>	20.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>bcA</sup>	13.83 $\pm$ 0.31 <sup>bB</sup>	<.0001
50 min		10.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>dC</sup>	21.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>abA</sup>	13.67 $\pm$ 0.33 <sup>bB</sup>	<.0001
60 min		10.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>dC</sup>	22.00 $\pm$ 0.37 <sup>aA</sup>	13.50 $\pm$ 0.22 <sup>bB</sup>	<.0001
<b>p-value</b>		<.0001	<.0001	0.0597	
Rectal Temp. ( $^{\circ}$ F)		0 min	102.05 $\pm$ 0.02	102.05 $\pm$ 0.02	102.05 $\pm$ 0.02
	1 min	101.95 $\pm$ 0.02	102.00 $\pm$ 0.04	102.00 $\pm$ 0.00	0.3911
	10 min	101.95 $\pm$ 0.02	101.98 $\pm$ 0.02	102.00 $\pm$ 0.04	0.5121
	20 min	101.93 $\pm$ 0.06	101.95 $\pm$ 0.02	101.98 $\pm$ 0.02	0.6603
	30 min	101.93 $\pm$ 0.06	101.95 $\pm$ 0.02	101.97 $\pm$ 0.02	0.8399
	40 min	101.90 $\pm$ 0.04	101.93 $\pm$ 0.03	101.97 $\pm$ 0.02	0.4134
	50 min	101.90 $\pm$ 0.09	101.93 $\pm$ 0.03	101.95 $\pm$ 0.02	0.8190
	60 min	101.90 $\pm$ 0.04	101.93 $\pm$ 0.02	101.95 $\pm$ 0.02	0.5310
<b>p-value</b>	0.4921	0.0581	0.0943		

Different superscripts (A-C) in column reveal significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) among the groups

Different superscripts (a-g) in row reveal significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) within groups

**Table 4. Effects of various anesthetic protocols on the partial pressure of oxygen, carbon dioxide and oxygen hemoglobin saturation using constant rate infusion in goats undergoing rumenotomy.**

Parameters	Time	Treatment groups			p-value
		A	B	C	
PaO <sub>2</sub> (mm Hg)	0 min	82.00±0.73 <sup>aA</sup>	81.00±0.93 <sup>aA</sup>	80.00±1.10 <sup>aA</sup>	0.3419
	1 min	77.17±0.60 <sup>bA</sup>	77.00±1.10 <sup>bA</sup>	78.83±1.05 <sup>aA</sup>	0.3398
	10 min	74.50±0.76 <sup>cB</sup>	76.00±1.10 <sup>bAB</sup>	78.17±1.01 <sup>aA</sup>	0.0519
	20 min	73.50±0.76 <sup>cdB</sup>	76.00±1.10 <sup>bAB</sup>	78.17±1.01 <sup>aA</sup>	0.0135
	30 min	73.67±0.67 <sup>cdB</sup>	75.00±1.10 <sup>bB</sup>	78.00±0.93 <sup>aA</sup>	0.0130
	40 min	72.83±0.65 <sup>cdB</sup>	75.00±1.10 <sup>bB</sup>	77.83±0.83 <sup>aA</sup>	0.0041
	50 min	72.00±0.73 <sup>dB</sup>	74.00±1.10 <sup>bB</sup>	77.67±0.76 <sup>aA</sup>	0.0013
	60 min	72.00±0.73 <sup>dB</sup>	74.00±1.10 <sup>bB</sup>	77.33±0.61 <sup>aA</sup>	0.0015
	<b>p-value</b>	<.0001	0.0010	0.5886	
PaCO <sub>2</sub> (mm Hg)	0 min	34.00±0.73 <sup>fA</sup>	33.00±0.73 <sup>eA</sup>	32.00±0.63 <sup>cA</sup>	0.1639
	1 min	43.67±0.84 <sup>eA</sup>	41.50±0.76 <sup>dA</sup>	35.67±0.67 <sup>bC</sup>	<.0001
	10 min	49.33±0.67 <sup>dA</sup>	45.67±0.88 <sup>cB</sup>	35.83±0.70 <sup>bC</sup>	<.0001
	20 min	53.67±0.67 <sup>cA</sup>	50.50±0.76 <sup>bB</sup>	36.50±0.76 <sup>bC</sup>	<.0001
	30 min	54.00±0.86 <sup>cA</sup>	51.00±0.58 <sup>bB</sup>	37.17±0.87 <sup>abC</sup>	<.0001
	40 min	56.00±0.86 <sup>bcA</sup>	53.67±0.71 <sup>aA</sup>	37.83±0.95 <sup>abB</sup>	<.0001
	50 min	57.33±0.84 <sup>abA</sup>	54.50±0.76 <sup>aB</sup>	38.17±0.79 <sup>abC</sup>	<.0001
	60 min	58.50±0.76 <sup>aA</sup>	55.83±0.95 <sup>aB</sup>	39.17±0.79 <sup>aC</sup>	<.0001
	<b>p-value</b>	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	
SpO <sub>2</sub> (%)	0 min	91.00±0.86 <sup>aA</sup>	90.00±0.73 <sup>aA</sup>	92.00±0.52 <sup>aA</sup>	0.1758
	1 min	86.67±0.99 <sup>bB</sup>	87.33±0.84 <sup>bB</sup>	90.83±0.48 <sup>abA</sup>	0.0047
	10 min	86.00±0.73 <sup>bB</sup>	86.50±0.67 <sup>bcB</sup>	90.50±0.62 <sup>abA</sup>	0.0005
	20 min	85.67±0.71 <sup>bB</sup>	86.33±0.99 <sup>bcdB</sup>	90.50±0.62 <sup>abA</sup>	0.0011
	30 min	85.17±1.08 <sup>bcB</sup>	85.17±0.83 <sup>bcdB</sup>	90.00±0.63 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0015
	40 min	84.67±0.92 <sup>bcB</sup>	84.67±0.67 <sup>cdB</sup>	89.83±0.60 <sup>ba</sup>	0.0002
	50 min	84.17±0.70 <sup>bcB</sup>	84.17±0.83 <sup>cdB</sup>	89.67±0.49 <sup>ba</sup>	<.0001
	60 min	83.00±0.52 <sup>cB</sup>	83.83±0.87 <sup>dB</sup>	89.50±0.62 <sup>ba</sup>	<.0001
	<b>p-value</b>	<.0001	<.0001	0.0829	

Different superscripts (A-C) in column show significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) among the groups

Different superscripts (a-f) in row show significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) within groups

**Evaluation of liver function parameters:** The results indicated non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) effects of all treatment protocols on ALT, AST and ALP at different time intervals following treatments (Table 6). The minimum deviation from baseline values, however, were observed in group C than group A and B. The highest values of ALT, AST and ALP were found in group B compared to group C and A at 15 and 30 min during the observation period.

**Evaluation of renal function parameters:** Of the renal function parameters assessed, the statistical analysis also indicated non-significant ( $p > 0.05$ ) effects of all treatment protocols on creatinine and blood urea nitrogen during the observation period (Table 6). The animals received the treatment C showed a minimum deviation from normal baseline values compared to those received the treatments A and B. The highest values of creatinine were found in group B than group A and C at 15, 30 and 60 min after treatment.

**Table 5. Effects of various anesthetic protocols on haematological parameters using constant rate infusion in goats undergoing rumenotomy.**

Parameters	Time	Treatment groups			p-value
		A	B	C	
Packed cell volume (%)	0 min	28.15±0.33 <sup>aA</sup>	28.68±0.36 <sup>aA</sup>	28.45±0.31 <sup>aA</sup>	0.5349
	15 min	27.62±0.30 <sup>abA</sup>	28.13±0.28 <sup>abA</sup>	28.16±0.24 <sup>aA</sup>	0.3263
	30 min	27.34±0.15 <sup>bB</sup>	27.89±0.22 <sup>bAB</sup>	27.99±0.25 <sup>aA</sup>	0.0912
	60 min	27.38±0.15 <sup>ba</sup>	27.69±0.09 <sup>ba</sup>	27.78±0.25 <sup>aA</sup>	0.2649

	<b>p-value</b>	0.1116	0.0637	0.3462	
Haemoglobin concentration (g/dl)	0 min	8.24±0.08 <sup>aA</sup>	8.20±0.06 <sup>bA</sup>	8.17±0.06 <sup>aA</sup>	0.7550
	15 min	8.15±0.04 <sup>abA</sup>	8.27±0.06 <sup>abA</sup>	8.11±0.05 <sup>aA</sup>	0.1501
	30 min	8.06±0.03 <sup>bB</sup>	8.39±0.06 <sup>aA</sup>	8.07±0.05 <sup>aB</sup>	0.0003
	60 min	8.03±0.02 <sup>bB</sup>	8.42±0.06 <sup>aA</sup>	8.04±0.05 <sup>aB</sup>	<.0001
	<b>p-value</b>	0.0246	0.0590	0.4068	
Total erythrocyte count (10 <sup>12</sup> /l)	0 min	13.25±0.05 <sup>aB</sup>	13.78±0.20 <sup>aA</sup>	13.55±0.17 <sup>aAB</sup>	0.0833
	15 min	12.91±0.17 <sup>aA</sup>	13.46±0.25 <sup>aA</sup>	13.29±0.17 <sup>aA</sup>	0.1764
	30 min	12.84±0.17 <sup>aA</sup>	13.25±0.31 <sup>aA</sup>	13.21±0.17 <sup>aA</sup>	0.3824
	60 min	12.77±0.17 <sup>aA</sup>	13.19±0.28 <sup>aA</sup>	13.19±0.17 <sup>aA</sup>	0.3085
	<b>p-value</b>	0.1477	0.3973	0.4403	
Total leukocyte count (10 <sup>9</sup> /l)	0 min	10.73±0.07 <sup>dA</sup>	10.76±0.07 <sup>cA</sup>	10.74±0.09 <sup>bA</sup>	0.9432
	15 min	11.16±0.12 <sup>cA</sup>	11.19±0.16 <sup>bcA</sup>	11.02±0.18 <sup>bA</sup>	0.7072
	30 min	11.56±0.13 <sup>bAB</sup>	11.59±0.18 <sup>bA</sup>	11.10±0.17 <sup>bB</sup>	0.0800
	60 min	12.12±0.09 <sup>aA</sup>	12.33±0.18 <sup>aA</sup>	12.04±0.16 <sup>aA</sup>	0.3962
	<b>p-value</b>	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	

Different superscripts (A-B) in column indicate significant differences (p<0.05) among the groups

Different superscripts (a-d) in row indicate significant differences (p<0.05) within groups

**Table 6. Effects of various anesthetic protocols on biochemical parameters using constant rate infusion in goats undergoing rumenotomy.**

Parameters	Time	Treatment groups			p-value
		A	B	C	
Serum glucose (mg/dl)	0 min	49.33±1.28 <sup>bAB</sup>	50.83±1.17 <sup>cA</sup>	47.17±1.08 <sup>cB</sup>	0.1203
	15 min	73.33±1.82 <sup>aA</sup>	65.67±1.71 <sup>bB</sup>	64.67±0.67 <sup>bB</sup>	0.0017
	30 min	74.67±1.38 <sup>aA</sup>	70.83±1.87 <sup>aAB</sup>	68.67±0.67 <sup>aB</sup>	0.0255
	60 min	75.83±0.91 <sup>aA</sup>	72.50±1.48 <sup>aB</sup>	70.33±0.80 <sup>aB</sup>	0.0103
	<b>p-value</b>	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	
Total plasma protein (g/dl)	0 min	6.27±0.01 <sup>aA</sup>	6.29±0.01 <sup>aA</sup>	6.28±0.01 <sup>aA</sup>	0.2956
	15 min	6.26±0.00 <sup>aA</sup>	6.27±0.00 <sup>abA</sup>	6.27±0.01 <sup>aA</sup>	0.1657
	30 min	6.26±0.00 <sup>aB</sup>	6.26±0.00 <sup>bAB</sup>	6.27±0.00 <sup>aA</sup>	0.0698
	60 min	6.26±0.00 <sup>aB</sup>	6.26±0.00 <sup>bAB</sup>	6.27±0.00 <sup>aA</sup>	0.0814
	<b>p-value</b>	0.1144	0.0653	0.4026	
Cortisol level (ng/ml)	0 min	15.01±0.16 <sup>dA</sup>	15.32±0.10 <sup>dA</sup>	15.19±0.06 <sup>dA</sup>	0.1947
	15 min	28.22±0.57 <sup>cA</sup>	29.68±0.52 <sup>cA</sup>	19.74±0.40 <sup>cB</sup>	<.0001
	30 min	34.47±0.62 <sup>bA</sup>	35.96±0.57 <sup>bA</sup>	21.75±0.57 <sup>bB</sup>	<.0001
	60 min	37.47±0.60 <sup>aB</sup>	39.46±0.69 <sup>aA</sup>	22.62±0.63 <sup>aC</sup>	<.0001
	<b>p-value</b>	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	
ALT (U/L)	0 min	11.33±0.42 <sup>bA</sup>	11.67±0.42 <sup>bA</sup>	12.00±0.37 <sup>bA</sup>	0.5207
	15 min	11.83±0.31 <sup>abA</sup>	12.50±0.43 <sup>abA</sup>	12.50±0.22 <sup>abA</sup>	0.2875
	30 min	12.33±0.42 <sup>abA</sup>	13.17±0.48 <sup>aA</sup>	12.83±0.31 <sup>abA</sup>	0.3187
	60 min	12.83±0.40 <sup>aA</sup>	13.50±0.56 <sup>aA</sup>	13.00±0.26 <sup>aA</sup>	0.5296
	<b>p-value</b>	0.0712	0.0607	0.1129	
AST (U/L)	0 min	20.67±0.33 <sup>bA</sup>	21.00±0.26 <sup>bA</sup>	21.33±0.21 <sup>aA</sup>	0.2548
	15 min	21.17±0.31 <sup>abA</sup>	21.33±0.33 <sup>abA</sup>	21.67±0.21 <sup>aA</sup>	0.4771
	30 min	21.50±0.22 <sup>abA</sup>	21.83±0.31 <sup>abA</sup>	21.67±0.21 <sup>aA</sup>	0.6514
	60 min	21.83±0.31 <sup>aA</sup>	22.00±0.26 <sup>aA</sup>	21.83±0.31 <sup>aA</sup>	0.8977
	<b>p-value</b>	0.0645	0.0900	0.5230	
ALP (U/L)	0 min	298.17±0.79 <sup>bA</sup>	299.83±0.79 <sup>bA</sup>	300.33±0.67 <sup>aA</sup>	0.1375
	15 min	299.50±0.67 <sup>abA</sup>	300.50±0.34 <sup>abA</sup>	300.83±0.40 <sup>aA</sup>	0.1719
	30 min	300.00±0.58 <sup>abB</sup>	301.33±0.33 <sup>abA</sup>	301.00±0.37 <sup>aAB</sup>	0.1156
	60 min	300.67±0.56 <sup>aA</sup>	301.67±0.42 <sup>aA</sup>	301.17±0.31 <sup>aA</sup>	0.3052
	<b>p-value</b>	0.0804	0.0766	0.6089	
BUN (mg/dl)	0 min	15.00±0.37 <sup>bA</sup>	14.50±0.43 <sup>bA</sup>	15.50±0.22 <sup>aA</sup>	0.1639
	15 min	15.33±0.21 <sup>abA</sup>	15.00±0.37 <sup>abA</sup>	15.83±0.31 <sup>aA</sup>	0.1783

	30 min	15.83±0.31 <sup>abA</sup>	15.33±0.21 <sup>abA</sup>	16.00±0.26 <sup>aA</sup>	0.2063
	60 min	16.17±0.31 <sup>aA</sup>	15.83±0.31 <sup>aA</sup>	16.17±0.17 <sup>aA</sup>	0.6089
	<b>p-value</b>	0.0587	0.0687	0.2846	
Creatinine (mg/dl)	0 min	1.54±0.01 <sup>bA</sup>	1.55±0.01 <sup>aA</sup>	1.55±0.00 <sup>aA</sup>	0.1495
	15 min	1.55±0.00 <sup>abB</sup>	1.56±0.00 <sup>aA</sup>	1.55±0.00 <sup>aAB</sup>	0.1244
	30 min	1.55±0.00 <sup>abA</sup>	1.56±0.00 <sup>aA</sup>	1.55±0.00 <sup>aA</sup>	0.5546
	60 min	1.56±0.00 <sup>aA</sup>	1.57±0.01 <sup>aA</sup>	1.56±0.00 <sup>aA</sup>	0.1232
	<b>p-value</b>	0.0782	0.1596	0.4575	

Different superscripts (A-C) in column reveal significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) among the groups

Different superscripts (a-d) in row reveal significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) within groups

## DISCUSSION

The present study was planned to develop a suitable total intravenous anesthesia protocol by comparative efficacy of three different combinations of detomidine, midazolam, propofol and ketamine using constant rate infusion in goats undergoing rumenotomy.

In the present study, total intravenous anesthesia maintained with detomidine-midazolam-propofol-ketamine produced comparatively deep sedation and excellent analgesia than detomidine-propofol and midazolam-ketamine. The deep sedation and excellent analgesia remained consistent throughout the 60-minute maintenance period. It may be attributed to the fact that, different drugs when injected in combination, strengthened the sedative and analgesic effects of the single drug. These findings are similar to that recorded by de Carvalho *et al.* (2016) who reported that xylazine in combination with methadone, morphine and tramadol provided better sedation and analgesia than xylazine alone in sheep. These results were also supported by findings of Sabertanha *et al.* (2019) who demonstrated that infusion of mixture of ketamine-propofol provided better analgesia compared to propofol alone.

The combination of detomidine-midazolam-propofol-ketamine also resulted in satisfactory anesthesia with comparatively rapid & smooth induction, excellent muscle relaxation and smooth recovery along with minimal effects on cardiopulmonary function than detomidine-propofol and midazolam-ketamine. It may be attributed to the fact that, detomidine and ketamine present in the mixture produced strong analgesic effects, whereas, propofol in the mixture resulted in smooth induction and rapid recovery that ultimately led to satisfactory anesthesia. These findings were similar to those recorded by Wamaitha *et al.* (2019) who demonstrated that propofol in ketofol resulted in smooth induction & rapid recovery, whereas, ketamine in mixture provided the analgesic effects. These results were also supported by the findings of Kalaiselvan *et al.* (2020) who reported that anesthesia maintained with constant rate infusion of dexmedetomidine-butorphenol-ketamine-propofol provided satisfactory anesthesia with minimal cardiorespiratory effects in canine orthopaedic patients. Similar findings were also reported in goats (Sengar *et*

*al.*, 2020), impala (Buck *et al.*, 2017), dogs (Thejasree *et al.*, 2018) and horses (Umar *et al.*, 2014).

In the present study, the combined administration of detomidine-midazolam-propofol-ketamine resulted in comparatively more stable cardiopulmonary function than detomidine-propofol and midazolam-ketamine. It may be attributed to the fact that combination of various drugs resulted in fewer adverse effects compared with either drug alone. Saikia *et al.* (2016) also reported that ketamine in combination with propofol,  $\alpha$ -2 agonists and benzodiazepines produced minimum adverse effects compared with ketamine alone. The reduction in heart rate observed in the present study may be due to depressive cardiovascular effects of detomidine compared to propofol and ketamine. The fact is, cardiovascular stimulatory effects of propofol and ketamine were mainly antagonized with depressive effect of detomidine resulting in net bradycardia as that of reported by Hopster *et al.* (2014). The reduction in heart rate was reported as a common side effect of xylazine and detomidine in sheep (de Carvalho *et al.*, 2016; de Moura *et al.*, 2018). The reduction in rectal temperature could have resulted due to depressive effects of drugs on thermoregulatory set point or might be due to decreased muscular activity during anesthesia as that of reported by EL-Kammar *et al.* (2014). Similar findings were also recorded by Sengar *et al.* (2020) who reported non-significant reduction in rectal temperature after administration of midazolam-propofol-ketamine in atropinized goats. Maravi *et al.* (2018) also reported non-significant decrease in rectal temperature after administration of detomidine-propofol in atropinized goats. These results were also supported by the findings of Yohannes (2018) who demonstrated non-significant reduction in rectal temperature after administration of ketamine-xylazine in dogs. Hypothermia was reported as a common complication of detomidine in goats (Tunio *et al.*, 2016) and cattle (Gnanasekar *et al.*, 2019).

The combined administration of detomidine-midazolam-propofol-ketamine also resulted in minimal changes in systolic, diastolic and mean arterial pressure than detomidine-propofol and midazolam-ketamine. It may be due to the fact that, the combined administration of propofol and ketamine showed antagonistic effects on arterial blood pressure resulting in improved

cardiovascular stability. Similar findings were also recorded by Uludağ *et al.* (2020) who reported that midazolam-ketamine provided better haemodynamic stability than midazolam-propofol with minimal changes in systolic and diastolic arterial pressure. These results were also similar to those recorded by Kumar *et al.* (2014) who reported that goats treated with dexmedetomidine-propofol and dexmedetomidine-ketamine produced excellent sedation and muscle relaxation along-with significant reduction in mean arterial pressure.

The combined administration of detomidine-midazolam-propofol-ketamine likewise, blood pressure, also resulted in better oxygen saturation with no respiratory cessation than detomidine-propofol and midazolam-ketamine, hence, it may be preferred in cases with compromised cardiovascular and respiratory function. The changes in arterial blood-gas observed in the present study were in agreement with changes previously reported in dogs (Seo *et al.*, 2015; Lee *et al.*, 2015). These results were also supported by the findings of Velázquez-Delgado *et al.* (2021) who demonstrated that administration of propofol-fentanyl-lidocaine-ketamine showed no respiratory cessation but better oxygen saturation in goats undergoing abomasotomy.

In the present study, total intravenous anesthesia maintained with combination of detomidine-midazolam-propofol-ketamine resulted in a better haematobiochemical stability than detomidine-propofol and midazolam-ketamine. It could be obtained probably due to opposing hemodynamic effects of propofol and ketamine that ultimately lead to minimal adverse effects. The reduction in hemoglobin concentration, total erythrocyte count and packed cell volume observed in the present study may be attributed to the sequestration of red blood cells in the spleen as that of reported in some earlier studies (Singh *et al.*, 2013; Kumar *et al.*, 2014). These results are also similar to those recorded by Yohannes (2018) who reported non-significant reduction in hemoglobin concentration, total erythrocyte count and packed cell volume in dogs treated with ketamine-xylazine. These results were also supported by the findings of Sengar *et al.* (2020) who observed non-significant reduction in hemoglobin concentration, total erythrocyte count and packed cell volume in goats treated with midazolam-propofol-ketamine.

The increased blood glucose level observed in the present study may be due to hyperglycemic effects of ketamine, detomidine and propofol during rumenotomy. Hyperglycemia had been reported as common classical complication of detomidine (Kritchevsky *et al.*, 2020; Box *et al.*, 2021), ketamine (Sahoo *et al.*, 2018), propofol (Maeda *et al.*, 2018) and daizepam (Mohammed *et al.*, 2018). The increased level of glucose could have resulted due to surgical stress during rumenotomy as that of reported by Njoku *et al.* (2015) and Kisani *et al.* (2018).

These findings are also in line with those recorded by Sengar *et al.* (2020) who observed significant increase in blood glucose level after administering midazolam-propofol-ketamine in atropinized goats.

The increased cortisol level observed in the present study might be due to surgical stress during rumenotomy as that of reported by Kisani *et al.* (2018) and Khoo *et al.* (2017). Moreover, the cortisol responses also indicated that stress response to surgical stimulation were more effectively suppressed after administering combination of detomidine-midazolam-propofol-ketamine than detomidine-propofol and midazolam-ketamine, hence, a preferred anesthesia. It could have resulted due to combined analgesic effects of detomidine, ketamine and midazolam, which in turn, decreased the cortisol secretion during rumenotomy. The stress response induced during superficial soft-tissue surgery was also attenuated by use of propofol-fentanyl anesthesia in piglets (Schöffmann *et al.*, 2009). Similar findings were also reported in goats after administration of different combinations of propofol, xylazine and ketamine (Okwudili *et al.*, 2014).

The increased ALT, AST and ALP level observed in the present study could have resulted due to the depressant effects of drugs on hepatic blood flow that may cause mild depression of liver resulting in increased liver enzymes. These findings are also in line with those recorded by Sengar *et al.* (2020) who observed non-significant increase in alanine aminotransferase and aspartate aminotransferase after administration of midazolam-propofol-ketamine in atropinized goats. Similar findings were also reported in dogs (Thejasree *et al.*, 2018). The increase in blood urea nitrogen and creatinine level may be due to cessation of renal blood flow that may cause mild depression of kidney, resulting in accumulation of nitrogenous substances in the blood. These results are also similar to those recorded by Sengar *et al.* (2020) who observed non-significant increase in blood urea nitrogen and creatinine level after administration of midazolam-propofol-ketamine in atropinized goats.

**Conclusion:** In conclusion, the total intravenous anesthesia maintained with detomidine-midazolam-propofol-ketamine produced a satisfactory anaesthesia with mild, transient changes in clinico-physiological and haematobiochemical parameters that remained within physiological limits.

**Acknowledgments:** The study is produced from a doctoral-PhD thesis. This research received no grant from any funding agency/sector.

**Conflict of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## REFERENCES

- Allison, A., R. Robinson, C. Jolliffe and P.M. Taylor (2017). Evaluation of the use of midazolam as a co-induction agent with ketamine for anaesthesia in sedated ponies undergoing field castration. *Eq. Vet. J.* 50(3): 321–326.
- Baird, N.A. (2013). Bovine Gastrointestinal Surgery. In Turner and McIlwraith's Techniques in Large Animal Surgery. 4th Ed. D.A. Hendrickson, N.A. Baird, Eds. John Wiley & Sons, Somerset, NJ, USA. 220–221 p.
- Beths, T. (2017). TIVA/CI in Veterinary Practice. In Total Intravenous Anaesthesia and Target Controlled Infusions: A Comprehensive Global Anthology. A.R. Absalom, K.P. Mason, Eds. Springer, Cham, Switzerland, USA. 589–618 p.
- Box, J.R., N.P. Karikoski, H.E. Tanskanen and M.R. Raekallio (2021). The effects of an alpha-2-adrenoceptor agonist, antagonist and their combination on the blood insulin, glucose, and glucagon concentrations in insulin sensitive and dysregulated horses. *Vet. J.* 269: 105610.
- Buck, R.K., L.R. Meyer, G.F. Stegmann, S.B. Kästner, M. Kummrow, C. Gerlach, G.T. Fosgate and G.E. Zeiler (2017). Propofol–medetomidine–ketamine total intravenous anaesthesia in thiafentanil–medetomidine-immobilized impala (*Aepyceros melampus*). *Vet. Anesth. Analg.* 44(1): 138-143.
- Chen, L., S. Li, Y. Zhou, T. Liu, A. Cai, Z. Zhang, F. Xu, A. Manyande, J. Wang and M. Peng (2020). Neuronal mechanisms of adenosine A2A receptors in the loss of consciousness induced by propofol general anesthesia with functional magnetic resonance imaging. *J. Neurochem.* 156(6): 1020-1032.
- Chow, N.S., R. Seddighi, H.K. Knych, X. Sun and T.J. Doherty (2020). Sedative effects and pharmacokinetics of detomidine when administered intravenously and intravaginally as a gel in alpacas. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.* 47(6): 773-780.
- Cohen, S.P., A. Bhatia, A. Buvanendran, E.S. Schwenk, A.D. Wasan, R.W. Hurley, A.D. Wasan, S. Narouze, A. Bhatia, F.N. Davis, W.M. Hooten and S.P. Cohen (2018). Consensus guidelines on the use of intravenous ketamine infusions for chronic pain from the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, the American Academy of Pain Medicine and the American Society of Anesthesiologists. *Reg. Anesth. Pain. Med.* 43(5): 521–546.
- de Carvalho, L.L., L.T. Nishimura, L.P. Borges, S.A. Cerejo, I.O. Villela, A. Auckburally and E. de Mattos-Junior (2016). Sedative and cardiopulmonary effects of xylazine alone or in combination with methadone, morphine or tramadol in sheep. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.* 43(2): 179-188.
- de Moura, R.S., I.P. Bittar, L.H. da Silva, A.C. Villela, M.B. dos Santos Júnior, N.C. Borges and L.G. Franco (2018). Sedative and cardiorespiratory effects of detomidine constant rate infusion in sheep. *Lab. anim.* 52(1): 51-58.
- Dzikiti, T.B., G.F. Stegmann, L.J. Hellebrekers, R.E. Auer and L.N. Dzikiti (2009). Sedative and cardiopulmonary effects of acepromazine, midazolam, acepromazine butorphanol and midazolam- butorphanol on propofol anaesthesia in goats. *J. S. Afr. Vet. Assoc.* 80(1): 10–16.
- Elbakry, A.E., W.E. Sultan and E. Ibrahim (2018). A comparison between inhalational (desflurane) and total intravenous anaesthesia (propofol and dexmedetomidine) in improving postoperative recovery for morbidly obese patients undergoing laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: a double-blinded randomized controlled trial. *J. Clin. Anesth.* 45: 6-11.
- EL-Kammar, M.H., S.B. Gad and A.S. Korittum (2014). Evaluation of the sedative, analgesic, physiological and haematological effects of intravenous detomidine, detomidine-butorphanol, romifidine and romifidine-butorphanol in Baladi goats. *Glob. Vet.* 12(1): 36-44.
- Fukumoto, K., H. Toki, M. Iijima, T. Hashihayata, J.I. Yamaguchi, K. Hashimoto and S. Chaki (2017). Antidepressant potential of (R)-ketamine in rodent models: comparison with (S)-ketamine. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 361(1): 9-16.
- Ghurashi, M.A.H., H.I. Seri, G.E. Mohamed and A.G.A. Buldan (2016). Maintenance of total intravenous anaesthesia in donkeys using continuous infusion with detomidine and ketamine. *Sudan. J. Sci. Tech.* 17(2): 12-27.
- Gnanasekar, R., A. Varadharajan, R.J. Christy, S. Kothandaraman and L. Muralikrishnan (2019). Physiological properties of different doses of Detomidine in cattle. *Analgesia (clinical evaluation).* *Int. J. Sci. Basic. Appl.* 9(3): 427-434.
- Hamano, S.I., K. Sugai, M. Miki, T. Tabata, T. Fukuyama and M. Osawa (2019). Efficacy, safety, and pharmacokinetics of intravenous midazolam in Japanese children with status epilepticus. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 396: 150-158.
- Hopster, K., C. Müller, C. Hopster-Iversen, J. Stahl, K. Rohn and S. Kästner (2014). Effects of dexmedetomidine and xylazine on cardiovascular function during total intravenous anaesthesia with midazolam and ketamine and

- recovery quality and duration in horses. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.* 41(1): 25-35.
- Iguchi, N., J. Kosaka, L.C. Booth, Y. Iguchi, R.G. Evans, R. Bellomo, C.N. May and Y.R. Lankadeva (2019). Renal perfusion, oxygenation, and sympathetic nerve activity during volatile or intravenous general anaesthesia in sheep. *Br. J. Anaesth.* 122(3): 342-349.
- Kalaiselvan, E., D.S. Surendra, S.B. Sarangom, S. Shivaraju, M. Hoque, S.K. Kiranjeet Singh, Maiti and Amarpal (2020). Clinico-Physiological Effects of Ketofol 1:2 in Canine Orthopaedic Patients. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 9(06): 4247-4251.
- Khoo, B., P.R. Boshier, A. Freethy, G. Tharakan, S. Saeed, N. Hill, E.L. Williams, K. Moorthy, N. Tolley, L.R. Jiao and D. Spalding (2017). Redefining the stress cortisol response to surgery. *Clin. Endocrinol.* 87(5): 451-458.
- Kisani, A.I., T. Tughgba and A.T. Elsa (2018). Effects of various surgical procedures on biochemical parameters of Nigerian dogs and their clinical implications. *Vet. World.* 11(7): 909-914.
- Kritchevsky, J.E., G.S. Muir, D.H.Z. Lescheke, J.K. Hodgson, E.K. Hess and F.R. Bertin (2020). Blood glucose and insulin concentrations after alpha-2-agonist administration in horses with and without insulin dysregulation. *J. Vet. Intern. Med.* 34(2): 902-908.
- Kropf, J. and J.L. Hughes (2018). Effects of midazolam on cardiovascular responses and isoflurane requirement during elective ovariohysterectomy in dogs. *Ir. Vet. J.* 71(26): 1-10.
- Kumar, R., K.P. Amarpal, A. Hp, P. Am, A. Kuma, J. Singh, S. Khattri and D.N. Madhu (2014). Clinicophysiological, haematobiochemical and haemodynamic effect of propofol and ketamine with dexmedetomidine in urolithic goats. *Vet. World.* 7(8): 566-573.
- Lai, H.C., M.S. Lee, C. Lin, K.T. Lin, Y.H. Huang, C.S. Wong, S.M. Chan and Z.F. Wu (2019). Propofol-based total intravenous anaesthesia is associated with better survival than desflurane anaesthesia in hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma: a retrospective cohort study. *Br. J. Anaesth.* 123(2): 151-160.
- Lai, H.C., M.S. Lee, Y.T. Liu, K.T. Lin, K.C. Hung, J.Y. Chen and Z.F. Wu (2020). Propofol-based intravenous anesthesia is associated with better survival than desflurane anesthesia in pancreatic cancer surgery. *PloS one.* 15(5): e0233598.
- Lee, J., S. Suh, R. Choi and C. Hyun (2015). Cardiorespiratory and anesthetic effects produced by the combination of butorphanol, medetomidine, and alfaxalone administered intramuscularly in Beagle dogs. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 77(12): 1677-1680.
- Lizarraga, I., F. Castillo-Alcala, K.M. Varner and L.S. Robinson (2016). Sedation and mechanical hypoalgesia after sublingual administration of detomidine hydrochloride gel to donkeys. *J. Am. Vet. Med. A.* 249(1): 83-89.
- Maeda, K.K., M. Iwasaki, Y. Itou, S. Iwai and S. Okano (2018). Effect of propofol continuous-rate infusion on intravenous glucose tolerance test in dogs. *Vet. Sci.* 5(2): 43.
- Maravi, M.S., R. Dewangan, S.K. Tiwari, R. Sharda and M.O. Kalim (2018). Clinico-Physiological Response to Detomidine-Propofol Anaesthesia in Atropinized Goats. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 7(2): 2978-2983.
- Messenger, K.M., M. Hopfensperger, H.K. Knych and G. Mark (2016). Pharmacokinetics of detomidine following intravenous or oral-trans mucosal administration and sedative effects of the oral-trans mucosal treatment in dogs. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* 77(4): 413-420.
- Mohammed, A.A., A. Al-Hozab and T. Alshaheen (2018). Effects of diazepam and xylazine on changes of blood oxygen and glucose levels in mice. *Adv. Anim. Vet. Sci.* 6(3): 121-127.
- Njoku, N.U. (2015). Effects of maintenance of propofol-ketamine anesthesia with repeat bolus and constant rate infusion of propofol on physiological, biochemical, anesthetic and analgesic indices in dogs. *J. Adv. Vet. Anim. Res.* 2(4): 427-434.
- Okwudili, C.U., A.E. Chinedu and J.O. Anayo (2014). Biochemical effects of xylazine, propofol and ketamine in West African dwarf goats. *J. Vet. Med.* Article ID 758581: 1-4.
- Orhurhu, V., M.S. Orhurhu, A. Bhatia and S.P. Cohen (2019). Ketamine infusions for chronic pain: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Anesth. Analg.* 129(1): 241-254.
- Parks, C.L., W. Tucker, C.A. Amlong, S. Mecozzi and R.A. Pearce (2016). Lipid-free fluoropolymer-based propofol emulsions and lipid reversal of propofol anesthesia in rats. *Anesthesiology.* 124(6): 1328-1337.
- Sabertanha, A., B. Shakhsemampour, M. Ekrami and E. Allahyari (2019). Comparison of Infusion of Propofol and Ketamine-Propofol Mixture (Ketofol) as Anesthetic Maintenance Agents on Blood Pressure of Patients Undergoing Orthopedic Leg Surgeries. *Anesth. Pain. Med.* 9(6): e96998.
- Sahoo, A.K., M. Indraprava and M. Kalpana (2018). Clinical evaluation of effects of Intravenous Induction agents: propofol, ketamine and

- etomidate on blood sugar level. *J. Med. Sci. and Clin. Res.* 6(1): 32363-32369.
- Saikia, B., K.K. Sarma, D. Kalita, B.K. Sarmah, A. Phukan and S. Goswami (2016). Propofol, Ketamine and ketofol as Total intravenous Anaesthesia in Dogs. *Sch. J. Agric. Vet. Sci.* 3(2): 100-102.
- Schwenk, E.S., E.R. Viscusi, A. Buvanendran, R.W. Hurley, A.D. Wasan, S. Narouze, A. Bhatia, F.N. Davis, W.M. Hooten and S.P. Cohen (2018). Consensus guidelines on the use of intravenous ketamine infusions for acute pain management from the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, the American Academy of Pain Medicine, and the American Society of Anesthesiologists. *Reg. Anesth. Pain. Med.* 43(5): 456-466.
- Seddighi, R., H.K. Knych, S.K. Cox, X. Sun, K.A. Moorhead and T.J. Doherty (2019). Evaluation of the sedative effects and pharmacokinetics of detomidine gel administered intravaginally to horses. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.* 46(6): 772-779.
- Sengar, A.S., S.K. Tiwari, R. Dewangan and M.S. Maravi (2020). Clinico-physiological and haemato-biochemical evaluation of midazolam-ketofol anaesthesia in atropinized goats. *Int. J. Chem. Stud.* 8(3): 2370-2374.
- Seo, J.I., S.H. Han, R. Choi, J. Han, L. Lee and C. Hyun (2015). Cardiopulmonary and anesthetic effects of the combination of butorphanol, midazolam and alfaxalone in Beagle dogs. *Vet. Anaesth. Analg.* 42(3): 304-308.
- Shyken, J.M., S. Babbar and A. Forinash (2019). Benzodiazepines in pregnancy. *Clin. Obstet. Gynecol.* 62(1): 156-167.
- Singh, G.D., P. Kinjavdekar, H.P. Aithal, A.M. Pawde, M. Zama, J. Singh and R. Tiwary (2013). Clinicophysiological and haemodynamic effects of fentanyl with xylazine, medetomidine and dexmedetomidine in isoflurane-anaesthetised water buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*). *J. S. Afr. Vet. Assoc.* 84(1): 1-11.
- Smith, M.C., L. Bass, J. Damone, K. Mama and S. Rao (2020a). Comparison of xylazine and detomidine in combination with midazolam/ketamine for field castration in Quarter Horses. *Eq. Vet. J.* 52(4): 516-521.
- Smith, P., M.K. Tolbert, E. Gould, A. Taylor, H. Knych and K. Messenger (2020b). Pharmacokinetics, sedation and hemodynamic changes following the administration of oral trans-mucosal detomidine gel in cats. *J. Feline. Med. Surg.* 22(12): 1184-1190.
- Schöffmann, G., P. Winter, R. Palme, A. Pollak, G. Trittenwein and J. Golej (2009). Haemodynamic changes and stress responses of piglets to surgery during total intravenous anaesthesia with propofol and fentanyl. *Lab anim.* 43(3): 243-248.
- Soliz, J.M., I.C. Ifeanyi, M.H. Katz, J. Wilks, J.P. Cata, T. McHugh, J.B. Fleming, L. Feng, T. Rahlfs, M. Bruno and V. Gottumukkala (2017). Comparing postoperative complications and inflammatory markers using total intravenous anaesthesia versus volatile gas anaesthesia for pancreatic cancer surgery. *Anesth. Pain. Med.* 7(4): e13879.
- Thejasree, P., P. Veena, N. Dhanalakshmi and K. Veerbrahmaiah (2018). Evaluation of propofol and ketofol anaesthesia following atropine, diazepam and fentanyl premedication in dogs. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.* 7(11): 3130-3137.
- Tunio, A., S. Bughio, J.K. Sahito, M.G. Shah, M. Ebrahimi and S.P. Tunio (2016). Observation of physiological changes after detomidine administration in Pateri Goat. *Mac. Vet. Rev.* 39(1): 77-81.
- Uludağ, Ö., M. Doğukan, R. Kaya, A. Tutak and E. Dumlupınar (2020). Comparison of the Effects of Midazolam-Ketamine or Midazolam-Propofol Combinations on Hemodynamic Stability, Patient Comfort and Post-anaesthesia Recovery in Children Undergoing Sedation for Magnetic Resonance Imaging Procedures. *Ain-Shams J. Anesth.* 12(1): 1-7.
- Umar, M.A., S. Fukui, K. Kawase, T. Itami and K. Yamashita (2014). Cardiovascular effects of total intravenous anaesthesia using ketamine-medetomidine-propofol (KMP-TIVA) in horses undergoing surgery. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 77(3): 281-288.
- Velázquez-Delgado, P.I., E. Gutierrez-Blanco, F.D. Torres-Acosta, A. Ortega-Pacheco, A.J. Aguilar-Caballero and B.T. Dzikiti (2021). Comparison of Propofol or Isoflurane Anaesthesia Maintenance, Combined with a Fentanyl-Lidocaine-Ketamine Constant-Rate Infusion in Goats Undergoing Abomasotomy. *Anim.* 11(2): 492.
- Wamaitha, M.N., E.M. Mogoia and J.D. Mande (2019). Evaluation of anaesthesia produced by ketofol in acepromazine or medetomidine sedated dogs. *J. Adv. Vet. Anim. Res.* 6(2): 215-221.
- Williams, M.D., C.T. Long, J.R. Durrant, G.P. McKeon, H.R. Shive, E.H. Griffith, K.M. Messenger and R.E. Fish (2017). Oral transmucosal detomidine gel in New Zealand white rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). *J. Am. Assoc. Lab. Anim. Sci.* 56(4): 436-442.
- Wu, Z.F., M.S. Lee, C.S. Wong, C.H. Lu, Y.S. Huang, K.T. Lin, Y.S. Lu, C. Lin, Y.C. Chang and H.C.

- Lai (2018). Propofol-based total intravenous anesthesia is associated with better survival than desflurane anesthesia in colon cancer surgery. *Anesthesiology*. 129(5): 932–941.
- Yohannes, G. (2018). Hematological and Physiological Effects of Ketamine with and without Xylazine in Dogs. *Int. J. Cell Sci. & Mol. Bio.* 5(1): 17-23.
- Zanos, P. and T.D. Gould (2018). Mechanisms of ketamine action as an antidepressant. *Mol. psychiatry*. 23(4): 801-811.
- Zheng, X., H. Huang, J. Liu, M. Li, M. Liu and T. Luo (2018). Propofol attenuates inflammatory response in LPS-activated microglia by regulating the miR-155/SOCS1 pathway. *Inflammation*. 41(1): 11-19.