The Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences, 29(3): 2019, Page: 667-673 ISSN: 1018-7081

EFFECT OF FASTING AND RE-FEEDING ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE, BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS OF BROWN TROUT (SALMO TRUTTA FARIO) AND RAINBOW TROUT (ONCORHYNCHUS MYKISS)

T. Karataş

Health Services Vocational School, Agri Ibrahim Cecen University, 04100 Agri, Turkey Corresponding Author's E-mail: tkaratas025@gmail.com; tkaratas@agri.edu.tr

ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to investigate the effect of short-term fasting and re-feeding on growth performances, body compositions and biochemical parameters of brown trout (*Salmo trutta fario*) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) with an average initial weight of 200±5 g. The 80 brown trout were placed in four tanks. 80 rainbow trout was placed in the other four tanks and 4 different feeding protocols were applied for both species; Control: every day, feeding twice a day; T1: 1 day of deprivation and3 days of re-feeding; T2: 2 days of deprivation and 6 days of re-feeding; T3: 3 days of deprivation and 9 days of re-feeding. Short-term fasting and re-feeding period took 30 days. At the end of the experiment, the weight gain (WG%) and specific growth rate (SGR%) of the control and treatment groups of the rainbow trout were higher than the values of the brown trout (p<0.05). But, no significant differences between the control and treatment groups of both species in terms of biochemical parameters and body compositions were observed (p>0.05). As a result, after the short-term fasting and re-feeding exposure, rainbow and brown trout showed a good growth performance. In addition, these trout could be fed without significant health damage in terms of physiological parameters.

Keywords: Rainbow trout, brown trout, growth, biochemical parameters, body composition, fasting, re-feeding.

INTRODUCTION

The aim of the implementation of feed protocols is to reduce the total production cost, to ensure economic sustainability and to reduce the amount of waste released the environment. Scientists working on fish feedinghave tried to find the most suitable feed and feeding patterns that may impact on feed intake and growthin the biology of the fish (Matilla et al., 2009). In recent years, compensatory growth studies on various fish species have generally been done to determine growth performance, feed efficiency and nutrient uptake levels (Jobling and Koskela, 1996; Hayward et al., 1997; Oh et al., 2007-2008; Wu et al., 2002; Ali and Jauncey, 2004; Zhu et al.,2005; Heide et al.,2006; Eroldogan et al., 2008; Foss et al., 2009). Compensatory growthhas been classified in four different ways. These are full compensation, partial compensation, excessive compensation and the absence of compensation growth. The purpose of full compensation growth is that starved fish reach the same size as fed fish continuously. In partial compensation, starved fish do not reach the same size with continuously fed individuals. But, during the refeeding period, they show relatively good growth and feed conversion ratio (Hayward et al., 1997; Karatas, 2016). Overcompensation growth are seen when they reach a higher growth from the continuous feeding fish of starved fish. At the end of starvation period, if re-fed fish continue to grow up at an ordinary degree, in this case, it does not show any

compensation (Ali *et al.*, 2003). Although deprivation—refeeding cycles have been implemented to show compensatory growthin some fish species, there are no studies showing the effects of fasting and re-feeding on brown trout (Känkänen and Pirhonen, 2009).

The interest in compensatory growth in the aquaculture sector has led to an increase in the search for physiological responses of fish during fasting and refeeding. Studies conducted on mobilization of energy reserves against nutritional deprivation of fish may be helpful in determining the causes of the physiological responses (Yarmohammadi *et al.*, 2015). Liver enzymes, lipid profile and electrolyte levels may provide useful information about the physiological responses of fish (Bani &Vayghan, 2011). The aim of this study was to compare the impacts of short term fasting and refeeding on growth performances, body compositions and biochemical parameters of brown and rainbow trout.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animal material: Brown and rainbow trout having a weight of 200±5g was taken from Atatürk University, Faculty of Fisheries, Inland Water Fish Breeding and Research Center (13.06.2014). A total of 160 fish, 80 rainbow and 80 brown trout were divided into two groups and placed in two different tanks with capacity of approximately 700 L water. While one tank had only brown trout, the other tank had only rainbow trout. The

tanks were filled with spring water with a constant flow (5 L.min⁻¹). The water flow was checked periodically and daily cleaning of the tanks were done (Karatas, 2016; Karatas, 2018a).

Experimental Design: After 14 days of adaptation period, 80 brown trout were taken from tank with capacity of approximately 700 L water were divided into 4 groups and randomly distributed to 4 tanks with 265 L water volume. 80 rainbow trout taken from other tank with capacity of 700 L waterwere divided into 4 groups and randomly distributed to 4 tanks with 265 L water volume and 4 different feeding protocols were applied for both species; Control: every day, feeding twice a day; T1: 1 day of deprivation and 3 days of re-feeding; T2: 2 days of deprivation and 6 days of re-feeding; T3: 3 days of deprivation and 9 days of re-feeding. Then, the fish were treated with 4 different feeding protocols (Eslamloo et al., 2012); Control (fed two times daily); T1: Treatment 1 (1 days of fasting and 3 days of re-feeding); T2: Treatment 2 (2 days of fasting and 6 days of re-feeding) and T3: Treatment 3 (3 days of fasting and 9 days of refeeding). Throughout assay, the rainbow and brown trout were weighed with precision scales every 10 days for 30 days and the daily feed amounts to be given to the fish at the end of the weighing were determined (Karatas, 2016). During the assay period, fish were fed with commercially available trout containing crude protein 45%, crude oil 20%, crude ash 10%, cellulose 3% and digestible energy 4000 kcal (Karatas, 2012).

Determination of growth rates: The weight gain (WG%), feed conversion ratio (FCR), feed efficiency rate (FER%) and specific growth rate (SGR%) in the control and treatment groups of the brown and rainbow trout were calculated by using the following equalities; weight gain (WG%) = 100[(Wt-W0)/W0], feed conversion ratio (FCR) = intake (g, dry weight) / wet weight gain (g), specific growth rate (SGR) =100[(lnWt-lnW0)/t]; feed efficiency ratio (FER%) = 100. (wet weight gain (g) / intake (g)) (Azodi *et al.*,2013; Karatas, 2016).

Proximate analyses of tissues: Analyses of tissues were done by drying and homogenizing of the samples. The tissues were dried at 105°C for 5 h with the help of an oven in order to obtain a constant weight. Ash ingredient was detected by the combustion of tissue at approximately 550°C for 12 h in the ash oven, the protein ingredient of fish was detected with the Kjeldahl and Soxtec method (AOAC, 1995).

Water quality parameters: The water quality parameters in the work area were as follows, respectively; temperature (9.6-10.5°C); pH (about 7.6±0.5); dissolved oxygen (about 10.6±0.60 mg/L); water hardness (about 175.1±3.21 mg/L) (Karatas *et al.*, 2014). No significant changes in water quality parameters during the experiment were observed.

Blood samples and serum: To reduce stress, the fish were caught very shortly and blood samples taken from the caudal vein by syringe were transferred to the anticoagulant tubes (Hedayati and Hosseini, 2013). Taken blood samples were incubated for 20 min to coagulate and then theywere centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 minutes and the serum was separated. Theserum samples were analyzed with autoanalyzer Cobas C501 using commercial kits (Karatas *et al.*, 2014).

Statistical Analyses: All results obtained from this study are expressed as mean \pm STD. Data were analyzed with the aid of Statistical Package Programme (SPSS, ver. 20.0). Independent samples t test was applied to compare two independent groups. While repeated measures were used in more than two dependent group comparisons, Friedman test was used in those who did not show normal distribution. P<0.05 was thought to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Throughout the entire assay, there was no death in the control and treatment groups of brown and rainbow trout. Results of the current study are indicated in Table 1, 2 and 3. At the end of the experiment, when the developmental parameters of control and treatment groups of brown and rainbow trout were evaluated separately, no significant difference was observed (p>0.05). However, SGR and WG values control and treatment groups of rainbow trout were higher than the values of brown trout(p<0.05). While moisture (%), ash (%), protein (%) ratios were observed increases in T1, T2 and T3 groups in both the brown and rainbow trout exposed to fasting and re-feeding periods compared to control groups, reductions in blood biochemical parameters of the groups (except for CI) were observed. However, no significant different between control and experiment groups in terms of growth performance (feed conversion ratio (FCR) and feed efficiency ratio (FER)), biochemical parameters (CHOL (Cholesterol), HDL (High Density Lipoprotein), LDL (Low Density Lipoprotein), TG (Triglyceride), Na (Sodium), Cl (Chlorine), Ca (Calcium), Mg (Magnesium), P (Phosphorus) and K (Potassium)) and body compositions (Moisture (%), Ash (%), Protein (%))levels were observed (p>0.05) (Table 1, 2 and 3).

DISCUSSION

The growth control is very important for aquaculture production. One of the most suitable methods for the growth control is the application of compensatory growth. Compensatory growth is very important for businesses engaged in aquaculture breeding such as feed

Table 1. Growth performance values of brown and rainbow trout reared at four feeding regimes C: Control (fed two times daily); T1: Treatment 1 (1 days of starvation and 3 days of re-feeding); T2: Treatment 2 (2 days of starvation and 6 days of re-feeding) and T3: Treatment 3 (3 days of starvation and 9 days of re-feeding).

	Brown trout				Rainbow trout				
Indices	C	T1	T2	Т3	С	T1	T2	T3	
WG (%)	45.1±2.10 a	42.5±2.09 a	40.0±3.05 a	35.2±2.71 a	97.0 ± 5.8^{b}	94.7±8.1 b	92.5±6.0 ^b	90.5±7.2 b	
SGR (% /day)	1.26±0.09 a	1.20±0.05 a	1.16±0.07 a	1.13±0.03 a	2.26 ± 0.02^{b}	2.23 ± 0.09^{b}	2.20 ± 0.05^{b}	2.16 ± 0.02^{b}	
FCR	1.14 ± 0.07	1.15 ± 0.02	1.12 ± 0.04	1.10 ± 0.06	1.12 ± 0.07	1.10 ± 0.02	1.09 ± 0.06	1.08 ± 0.04	
FER (%)	88.5±4.03	87.0 ± 3.08	89.3 ± 5.05	91.3±3.62	89.3 ± 3.05	91.3±4.21	92.1±3.2	92.5.±2.01	

Results were given as mean \pm SD. SGR (specific growth rate) =100[(lnWt-lnW₀)/t]; WG (weight gain) = 100[(Wt-W₀)/W₀]. FER (feed efficiency ratio) = 100.(wet weight gain (g) / intake (g)). FCR (feed conversion ratio) = intake (g, dry weight) / wet weight gain (g). Different letters indicate differences between groups

Table 2. Changes in the body composition of brown and rainbow trout reared at four feeding regimes C: Control (fed two times daily); T1: Treatment 1 (1 days of starvation and 3 days of re-feeding); T2: Treatment 2 (2 days of starvation and 6 days of re-feeding) and T3: Treatment 3 (3 days of starvation and 9 days of re-feeding).

	Brown trout				Rainbow trout				
Indices	C	T1	T2	Т3	C	T1	T2	Т3	
Moisture (%)	65.5±3.15	65.6±4.26	65.7±4.18	66.1±5.23	65.9±4.82	66.1±5.16	66.4±4.35	66.8±5.13	
Ash (%)	1.45 ± 0.18	1.50 ± 0.05	1.54 ± 0.04	1.60 ± 0.08	1.48 ± 0.12	1.52 ± 0.14	1.55 ± 0.14	1.62 ± 0.28	
Protein (%)	17.8 ± 1.82	17.9 ± 1.21	18.2 ± 2.25	18.4 ± 1.47	18.1 ± 2.35	$18.2.\pm 2.64$	18.5 ± 1.97	18.7 ± 2.47	

No significant difference was observed between control and the treatments group of brown trout and rainbow trout.

Table 3. Changes in liver function tests, lipid profiles, electrolyte levels of fasting and re-fed in brown and rainbow trout.

Brown trout					Rainbow trout					
Lipid profile										
Indices	Control	T1	T2	Т3	Control	T1	T2	Т3		
CHOL	296.8 ± 63.8	295.6 ± 76.2	284.2 ±43.7	272.2 ±40.5	312.5±45.6	310.2 ±35.4	294.1 ±21.4	291.4±25.7		
HDL	197.8 ± 40.1	193.0 ± 18.4	189.2 ± 23.0	188.0 ± 30.6	201.7 ± 47.9	197.5 ± 40.6	195.7 ± 49.4	195.0 ± 54.5		
LDL	124.2 ± 26.4	121.2 ± 27.8	116.6 ± 25.6	113.5 ± 22.0	126 ± 34.9	123.0 ± 34.1	121.7 ± 35.2	118.7 ± 34		
TG	397.4 ± 42.7	392.2 ± 32.8	387.4 ± 35.7	380.4 ± 36.0	401 ± 32.1	396.7 ± 35.9	391.7±39.8	375 ± 32.7		
	Liver Function Tests									
AST	521.2±52.6	516.2±59.9	510.7 ± 40.3	508.5 ± 42.1	543.4 ± 45.2	533.6 ± 56.0	557.8 ± 46.0	553.2 ± 56.9		
ALT	26.8 ± 3.2	26.0 ± 7.3	25.8 ± 5.9	24.6 ± 4.2	26.1 ± 4.5	25.5 ± 5.9	24.4 ± 5.1	23.5 ± 6.2		
	Electrolytes									
Ca ⁺⁺	11.7±3.7	11.6 ± 2.4	10.5 ± 2.7	10.1±2.3	11.2 ± 1.50	11.1 ± 0.65	10.9 ± 1.09	10.7 ± 1.33		
\mathbf{Mg}^{++}	3.1 ± 0.2	3.0 ± 0.2	2.9 ± 0.1	2.9 ± 0.2	4.3 ± 0.77	3.9 ± 0.68	3.8 ± 1.30	3.7 ± 0.40		
Na ⁺	156 ± 1.8	155.2 ± 2.7	154.4±1.5	154.6 ± 3.2	157 ± 1.4	156.5 ± 2.08	154.7 ± 1.70	153.7 ± 2.5		
\mathbf{K}^{+}	1.0 ± 0.1	0.95 ± 0.4	0.94 ± 0.3	0.94 ± 0.3	0.90 ± 0.11	0.88 ± 0.08	0.85 ± 0.10	0.86 ± 0.09		
Cl	131.8 ± 1.9	131.0 ± 5.3	135.2 ± 0.8	135.2 ± 3.7	129.5 ± 4.4	130.5 ± 5.4	132.5 ± 4.0	134.5 ± 2.5		
P -	13.1 ± 5.2	12.8 ± 2.4	12.5±3.2	12.6 ± 2.4	13.5 ± 5.8	13.1 ± 4.3	13.0 ± 3.2	12.9 ± 3.5		

No significant difference was observed between control and the treatments groups of brown trout and rainbow trout.

utilization, increasing in growth rate, optimization and management of feed, minimizing feed wastage. In the present study, the specific growth rate (%) and weight gain (%) among the treatment and control groups of both species showed a tendency to decrease with increasing feed deprivation (p>0.05) (Table 1). However, the SGR and WG values of the control and treatment groups of the rainbow trout were significantly higher than the values of the brown trout.

This may be due to the reduced metabolic rate during feed deprivation as well as decreased growth and daily feed intake or stress (Heide et al., 2006). The results obtained in this study were comparable with the reported results in European minnows by Russel and Wootton (1992); in rainbow trout with different periods of starvation and refeeding by Weatherly and Gill (1981), Dobson and Holmes (1984), Quinton and Blake (1990), Nikki et al. (2004), in Atlantic cod by Jobling et al. (1994); in pikeperch by Mattila et al. (2009); Chinese sturgeon by Liu et al. (2011) and Xie et al. (2001). Partial compensation was reported in Atlantic charr by Jobling et al. (1993), in gilthead sea bream by Eroldogan et al. (2006), Atlantic halibut by Heide et al. (2006) and in White fish by Känkänen and Pirhonen (2009). However, the results of this study were different from the studies showing complete compensation growth as reported incommon carp by Schwarz et al. (1985), great sturgeon by Falahatkar et al. (2009), gilthead sea breams by Bavcevic et al. (2010), sea breams by Peres et al. (2011).

FER and FCR values of control group and rainbow trout were higher than those of control and starved brown trout. (Table 1). But, the difference was statistically non-significance(p>0.05) (Table 1). It may be due to the slow digestion in the brown trout when compared to rainbow trout. The results obtained from brown and rainbow trout were different from results reported by Tian and Qin (2003), Azodi *et al.* (2013) Azodi *et al.* (2015) and Karatas (2018a). Different in the FER and FCR values may be related to fish size and feed patterns.

The body compositions of the rainbow and brown trout subjected to fasting was similar to control groups. In other words, there was non-significant effect on body moisture and ash of short-term fasting and refeeding applied to brown and rainbow trout (p>0.05) (Table 2). This is in accordance with the results on rainbow trout (Quinton and Blake, 1990; Karatas, 2018a), barramundi (Tian and Qin 2003; Tian and Qin, 2004) and gilthead sea (Eroldogan et al., 2008). But, were in conflict with the results of Wang et al. (2000) and Matilla et al. (2009). No differences in the protein content of rainbow and brown trout exposed to short-term fasting and refeeding periods were observed (p>0.05) (Table 2). But, there were increases. The results of this study were in accordance with those of Azodi et al. (2013), Tian and Qin (2004) and Karatas (2018a). But, the results of studies reported by Quinton and Blake (1990), Xie et al. (2001), Tian and Qin (2003), Iqbal et al. (2006) and Matilla et al. (2009) were different from the results of this study.

Physiological parameters are used to determine the responses of fish to environmental conditions, malnutrition and harmful substances (Rehulka, 2000). It has been reported that the liver is the first organ affected by nutrient deficiency in living things (Navarro and Gutiérrez, 1995; Power et al., 2000). In this study, there was no significant change (p>0.05) in liver enzyme (AST and ALT) levels of the fasting and re-fed groups of brown and rainbow trout when compared with the control groups (Table 3). The minimum and maximum values of AST and ALT were observed in the range of 366.0-573.0 and 8.8-30.2 mg/dL, respectively (Karatas, 2012). The results of this study were consistent with the results of Karatas (2012). It was showed that the feeding protocols applied for this study did not cause any damage to the liver. The results obtained in brown and rainbow trout were in accordance with the results of (Azodi et al., 2015).

Lipids have been reported to be effective in rising the release of fatty acids from adipose tissue and liver during deprivation in most species of fish (Azodi et al., 2015). Lipids, which form the long-term energy reserves of a living organism, are usually transported in the form of lipoprotein compounds (Pamela et al., 2004). Triglycerides, which are energy reserves, are effective in short-term fasting periods (Navarro and Gutiérrez, 1995). In this study, there was no significant change (p>0.05) in the lipid profiles of brown and rainbow trout exposed to periods of fasting and re-feeding (Table 3). But, there were decreases. The minimum and maximum values of cholesterol and triglycerides in blood lipids were observed in the range of 0.10-714.29 mg/dL, 0.40-999.00 mg/dL, respectively (Çelik and Bilgin, 2007). The results of this study were consistent with the results of Çelik and Bilgin (2006). Studies on different fish species have reported no significant change in CHOL, HDL, LDL and TG levels (Azodi et al., 2015; Furne' et al., 2012; Pérez-Jiménez et al., 2012; Pérez-Jiménez et al., 2007). Whereas, some studies showed that there might be a decrease in TG levels of some fish during fasting (Costas et al., 2011; Falahatkar, 2012; Karatas, 2018b). Nutrition is one of the most important factors in meeting the mineral needs of fish (Karagül et al., 2000). If minerals are taken in inadequate levels, they cause many vital functions in the body to deteriorate (Johannessen and Dahl, 1996; Conte, 2004). Minerals such as Na⁺, CI⁻ and K⁺are found in the extracellular and intracellular fluid. The main cation of extracellular fluid is sodium and the main anion is chloride. K+ andMg+2 are known as the main cation of intracellular fluid. Na+, CI- and K+ are important for maintaining homeostasis (Terry, 1994). The Mg⁺² ion is also an important mineral in neurochemical transmission and muscle stimulation (Karnaky,1998). Ca++ is involved in blood clotting as well as blood pressure regulation (Junge, 2006). Phosphorus is involved in the synthesis of proteins, enzymes and energy production in the cell. In the present study, there was no statistically significant difference between Ca, Na, P, Cl and Mg values ofbrown and rainbow trout exposed to periods of fasting and re-feeding(p>0.05). The minimum and maximum values of sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, chlorine and phosphorus from blood electrolytes are in the range of 61.8-264.8, 0.6-14.3, 0.2-11.0, 0.04-4.6, 73.0-196.0 and 1.33-7.98 mmoll⁻¹, respectively (Celik, 2006). The results of this study were consistent with the results of Celik (2006). Lyytikainena et al. (2002) and Keleştemur et al. (2012) determined that there was no statistically significant difference in calcium, sodium and chloride concentrations under stress, fluctuating and constant thermal conditions. It has been reported that the stress caused by starvation and malnutrition leads to a decrease in the potassium concentration of fish (Lyytikainena et al., 2002; Keleştemur et al., 2012).

Conclusion: The results of this study showed that 1-3 days fasting and re-feeding programs can be a good feed management tool for rainbow and brown trout. These trout could be fed without significant health damage in terms of physiological parameters. Further research should be carried on different feeding models for compensatory growth of brown trout, *Salmo trutta fario*.

Acknowledgements: The author thanks Ataturk University, Faculty of Aquaculture, Inland Water Fish Research and Application Center for supports in this study.

REFERENCES

- Ali, M., A. Nicieza, and R. J. Wootton (2003). Compensatory growth in fishes: a response to growth depression. Fish and Fisheries. 4: 147-190.
- Ali, M. Z., and K. Jauncey (2004). Evaluation of mixed feeding schedules with respect to compensatory growth and body composition in African catfish *Clariasgariepinus*, Aqua. Nutri. 10: 39-45.
- AOAC. (1995) Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International. In: Mulvaney, T.R. (ed.) AOAC International, Arlington, VA, 42-1-42-2.
- Azodi, M., E. Ebrahimi, O. Farhadian, and N. Mahboobisoofiani (2013). Response of Rainbow Trout, (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum 1792) to Short Term Starvation Periods and Re-Feeding. World J. Fish and Marine Sci. 5(5): 474-480.
- Azodi, M., E. Ebrahimi, M. Ebrahimi and V. Morshedi (2015). Metabolic responses to short starvation and re-feeding in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Ichthyol Res. 62:177–183.

- Azodi, M., E. Ebrahimi, O. Farhadian, N. Mahboobisoofiani and V. Morshedi (2015). Compensatory growth response of rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum following short starvation periods. Chinese J. of Ocean. and Lim. 33 (4): 928-933.
- Bani, A., and A. H. Vayghan (2011). Temporal variation in haematological and biochemical indices of the Caspian kutum, *Rutilusfrisiikutum*. Ichthyol. Res. 58: 126-133
- Bavcevic, L., T. Klanjscek, V. Karamarko, I. Anicic, and T. Legovic (2010). Compensatory growth in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*) compensates weight, but not length. Aquacul. 301: 57-63.
- Costas, B., C. Araga^oo, I. Ruiz-Jarabo, L. Vargas-Chacoff, F. Jesu's Arjona, M.T. Dinis, J.M. Mancera and L.E.C. Conceic, a^oo (2011). Feed deprivation in senegalese sole (Soleasenegalensis Kaup, 1858) juveniles: effects on blood plasma metabolites and free amino acid levels. Fish Phys. and Biochem. 37: 495–504.
- Conte, F.S. (2004). Stress and the welfare of cultured fish. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 86: 205-223
- Çelik, E. Ş. (2006). Standardization of blood electrolytes for some fish species. Erciyes Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi 22(1-2): 245-255(in Turkish).
- Çelik, E.Ş and S. Bilgin (2007).Standardization of blood proteins and lipids for some fish species.ErciyesÜniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi. 23 (1-2):215-229(in Turkish).
- Dobson, S. H. and R. M. Holmes (1984). Compensatory growth in the rainbow trout, Salmo gairdneri Richardson. J. Fish Bio. 25(6): 649-656.
- Eroldogan, O.T., M. Kumlu, G.A. Kirisx, and B. Sezer (2006). Compensatory growth response of Sparus aurata following different starvation and refeeding protocols. Aqua. Nutri. 12: 203-210.
- Eroldogan, O.T., O. Tasbozan, and S. Tabakoglu (2008). Effects of restricted feeding regimes on growth and feed utilization of juvenile Gilthead Sea bream, Sparus aurats. J of the world Aqua Soci. 39: 267-274.
- Eslamloo, K., V. Morshedi, M. Azodi, G. Ashouri, M. Ali, and F. Iqbal (2012). Effects of starvation and re-feeding on growth performance, feed utilization and body composition of tinfoil barb (*Barbonymusschwananfeldii*). World J. Fish and Marine Sci., 4(5): 489-495.
- Falahatkar, B., A. Abbasalizadeh, M.H. Tolouei, and A. Jafarzadeh (2009). Compensatory growth following food deprivation in great sturgeon. 6th Symposium on sturgeon, 25-31 October, China, pp: 241-243.
- Foss, A., A. K. Imsland, E. Vikingstad, S.O. Stefansson, B. Norberg, S. Pedersen, T. Sandvik, and B. Roth

- (2009). Compensatory growth in Atlantic halibut: Effect of starvation and subsequent feeding on growth, maturation, feed utilization and flesh quality. Aquacul. 290: 304-310.
- Furné, M., A.E. Morales, C.E. Trenzado, M. G. Gallego, M. C. Hidalgo, A. Domezain and A. SanzRus (2012). The metabolic effects of prolonged starvation and refeeding in sturgeon and rainbow trout. J. Comp. Physi. B. 182(1): 63-76.
- Hayward, R.S., D.B. Noltie and N. Wang (1997). Use of compensatory growth to double hybrid sunfish growth rates. Transactions of the American Fish. Soci. 126: 316–322.
- Heide, A., A. Foss, S.O. Stefansson, I. Mayer, B. Norbery, B. Roth, M.D. Jenssen, R. Nortvedt and A. K. Imsland (2006) Compensatory growth and fillet crude composition in juvenile Atlantic halibut: Effects of short-term starvation periods and subsequent feeding. Aquacul. 261(1):109-117.
- Hedayati, A. and A.R. Hosseini (2013). Endocrine disruptions induced by artificial induction of mercury chlorideon sea bream. Comp Clin. Pathol. 22:679-684.
- Iqbal, F., M. Ali, K. Umer, and S. A. Rana (2006). Effect of feed cycling on specific growth rate, condition factor, body composition and RNA/DNA ratio of Cirrhinusmrigala. J. Applied Sci, Environ. Managt. 10(2): 129-133.
- Johannessen, T. and E. Dahl (1996). Declines in oxygen concentrations along the Norwegian Skagerrak coast, 1927-1993: a signal of ecosystem changes due to eutrophication. Limno. and Ocean. 41: 766-778.
- Jobling, M., E.H.Jørgensen and S.I. Siikavuopio (1993). The influence of previous feeding regime on the compensatory growth response of maturing and immature Arctic charr, Salvelinus alpinus. J. Fish Bio. 43 (3): 409-419.
- Jobling, M., O.H Meløy dos Santos J, B. Christiansen (1994). The compensatory growth response of the Atlantic cod: effects of nutritional history. Aquacul. Internat. 2 (2): 75-90.
- Jobling, M. and J. Koskela (1996). Interindividual variations in feeding and growth in rainbow trout during restricted feeding and in subsequent period of compensatory growth. J Fish Bio. 49: 658-667.
- Junge, T. (2006). Blood Clotting Mechanism. The Surgical Technologist, pp 13-18.
- Kankanen, M. and J. Pirhonen (2009). The effect of intermittent feeding on feed intake and compensatory growth of whitefish (*Coregonuslavaretus* L.) Aquacul. 288: 92-97.
- Karagül, H., A. Altıntaş, U. R. Fidancı and, T. Sel (2000). Clinical Biochemistry, p. 430, volume 1, Medisan Publishing House, No: 45, Ankara (In Turkish).

- Karatas, T. (2012). Effects of different thermal shocks applications on survival, growth, triploidy, biochemical parameters and enzyme activity of rainbow trout (*oncorhynchusmykiss*, w. 1792) eggs. Ph. D. Thesis, Atatürk University, Institute of Science and Technology, Erzurum
- Karatas, T., E.M. Kocaman, and M. Atamanalp (2014). The comparison of total cholesterol and cholesterol types of cultured rainbow (Oncorhynchus mykiss, Walbaum, 1972) and brook trouts (Salvelinus fontinalis, Mitchill, 1815) cultivated under the same water conditions. Int J. of Fish. and Aqua, 6: 16-19.
- Karatas, T. (2016). Effects of Starvation and Re-Feeding on Growth Performance and Feed Utilization of Rainbow Trout, (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Alınteri.31 (B): 48 52.
- Karatas, T. (2018a). Effects on growth performance and body composition of deprivation and re-feeding periods applied on rainbow trout, (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Fresenius Environ. Bull. 27(11):7186-7190.
- Karatas, T. (2018b). Effect of Short-Term Starvation on Serum Metabolites, Antioxidant Enzymes and Endogenous Reserves of Rainbow Trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. Pakistan J. Zool.50(5): 1723-1729.
- Karnaky, K. J. (1998). Osmotic and ionic regulation. In: Evans DH. The physiology of fishes, 2nd edn. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla. 157–176.
- Keleştemur, G.T. (2012). Effects of hypoxic stress on electrolyte levels of blood in juvenile rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Iranian J. Fish. Sci. 11(4): 930-937.
- Liu, W., Q.W. Wei,H. Wen,M. Jiang, F. Wu and Y. Shi (2011). Compensatory growth in juvenile Chinese sturgeon (*Acipensersinensis*): effects of starvation and subsequent feeding on growth and body composition. J of Applied Ich. 27 (2): 749-754.
- Lyytikainena, T., P. Pylkkob, O. Ritolac and P. Lindström-Seppad (2002). The effect of acute stress and temperature on plasma cortisol and ion concentrations and growth of Lake Inari Arctic charr, Salvelinus alpinus. Env. Biol. Fishes. 64:195–202.
- Mattila, J., J. Koskela, and J. Pirhonen, (2009). The effect of the length of repeated feed deprivation between single meals on compensatory growth of pikeperch (*Sander lucioperca*). Aquacul. 296: 65–70.
- Navarro, I., J. Gutiérrez (1995). Fasting and starvation, in: Hochachka, P.W., Mommsen, T.P. (Eds.), Biochemistry and molecular biology of fishes. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp. 393-434.
- Nikki, J., J. Pirhonen, M. Jobling, and J. Karjalainen (2004). Compensatory growth in juvenile rainbow

- trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss* (Walbaum), held individually. Aquacul. 235: 285–296.
- Oh, S.Y., C.H. Noh, and S.H. Cho (2007). Effect of restricted feeding regimes on compensatory growth and body composition of Red sea bream, Pagrus major. J of The World Aquacul. Soci. 38: 443-449.
- Oh, S.Y., C.H. Noh, R.S. Kang, C.K. Kim, S.H. Cho, and J.Y. Jo (2008). Compensatory growth and body composition of juvenile black rockfish *Sebastes schlegeli* following feed deprivation. Fish. Sci. 74: 846-852.
- Quinton, J.C. and R.W. Blake (1990). The effect of feed cycling and ration level on the compensatory growth response in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. J. Fish Bio. 37 (1): 33-41.
- Pamela, C. (2004). Champe and Richard A. Harvey PhD. Biochemistry (Lippincott Illustrated Reviews Series). Jul 30, 140 page
- Pérez-Jiménez, A., M.J. Guedes, A.E. Morales and A. Oliva-Teles (2007). Metabolic responses to short starvation and refeeding in Dicentrarchus labrax. Effect of dietary composition. Aquaculture, 265:325–335.
- Pérez-Jiménez, A., G. Cardenete, M.C. Hidalgo, A. García-Alcázar, E. Abellán and A.E. Morales (2012). Metabolic adjustments of Dentex dentex to prolonged starvation and refeeding. Fish Physiol. Biochem. 38(4): 1145-1157.
- Peres, H., S. Santos, and A. Oliva-Teres (2011). Lack of compensatory growth response in gilthead seabream (*Sparus aurata*) juveniles following starvation ans subsequent refeeding. Aquacul. 318: 384-388.
- Rehulka, J. (2000). Influence of astaxanthin on growth rate, condition and some blood indices of rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Aquaculture, 190: 27-47.
- Russell, N.R. and R.J. Wootton (1992). Appetite and growth compensation in the European minnow, *Phoxinusphoxinus* (Cyprinidae), following short periods of food restriction. Enviro. Bio. Fishes. 34 (3): 277-285.
- Schwarz, F.J., J. Plank, and M. Kirchgessner(1985). Effects of protein or energy restriction with

- subsequent realimentation on performance parameters of carp (*Cyprinuscarpio*asd). Aquacul. 48: 23-33.
- Terry, J. (1994). The major electrolytes: sodium, potassium, and chloride. J. Intraven. Nurs. 17(5):240-247.
- Tian, X.L. and J.G. Qin (2003). A single phase of food deprivation provoked compensatory growth in barramundi, *Latescalcarifer*. Aquacul. 224 (1-4): 169-179.
- Tian, X.L.andJ.G. Qin (2004). Effects of previous ration restriction on compensatory growth in barramundi, *Latscalcarifer*. Aquaculture. 235: 273-283.
- Yarmohammadi, M., M. Pourkazemi, R. Kazemi, M. Pourdehghani, M. H. Saber and , L. Azizzadeh (2015). Effects of starvation and re-feeding on some hematological and plasma biochemical parameters of juvenile Persian sturgeon (*Acipenserpersicus Borodin*, 1897). Caspian J. Environ. Sci., 13 (2): 129-140.
- Wang, Y., Y. Cui, Y. Yang, and F. Cai (2000). Compensatory growth in hybrid tilapia, *Oreochromismossambicus* × *O. niloticus*, reared in seawater. Aquaculture. 189: 101-108.
- Weatherley, A. H. and H. S. Gill (1981). Recovery growth following periods of restricted rations and starvation in rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri* Richardson. J. Fish Bio. 18 (2): 195-208.
- Wu, L., S. Xie, X. Zhu, Y. Cui, and R.J. Wootton (2002). Feeding dynamics in fish experiencing cycles of feed deprivation: a comparison of four species. Aquacul Res. 33: 481-489.
- Xie, S., X. Zhu, Y. Cui, W. Lei, Y. Yang, and R.J. Wootton (2001). Compensatory growth in the gibel carp following feed deprivation: temporal patterns in growth, nutrient deposition, feed intake and body composition. J. Fish Bio. 58: 999-1009.
- Zhu, X., S. Xie, W. Lei, Y. Cui, Y. Yang, and R.J. Wootton (2005). Compensatory growth in the Chinese longsnout catfish, *Leiocassislongirostris* following feed deprivation: Temporal patterns in growth, nutrient deposition, feed intake and body composition. Aquaculture. 248: 307-314.