

## EFFECT OF DIFFERENT NITROGEN LEVELS AND ROW SPACING ON THE PERFORMANCE OF NEWLY EVOLVED MEDIUM GRAIN RICE VARIETY, KSK-133

T. H. Awan, R. I. Ali, Z. Manzoor, M. Ahmad and M. Akhtar

Rice Research Institute, Kala Shah Kaku  
Correspondence author e-mail: tahirawanri@yahoo.com

### ABSTRACT

An experiment was carried out to study the effect of different Nitrogen levels (110,133 & 156 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in combination with different row spacing (15 cm, 22.5 cm & 30 cm). The experiment was conducted at Rice Research Institute Kala Shah Kaku during the crop growing season 2006 & 2007. Treatment RS<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>, where 156 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied with 22.5 cm row to row and plant to plant spacing had maximum values of plant height (79.07 cm), tillers m<sup>-2</sup> (594), panicle length (25.40 cm), No of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> (132.97), grain yield (5461.03 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), straw yield (9662.03 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and least value of sterility %age (5.7 %). All these parameters were statistically at par with the treatment RS<sub>1</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (15cm spacing with 156 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) except panicle length. Statistically minimum values of all these parameters were recorded under the treatments RS<sub>1</sub>N<sub>1</sub> (15cm spacing with 110 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) and RS<sub>3</sub>N<sub>1</sub> (30 cm spacing with 110 Kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) except panicle length, 1000 grain weight & sterility %age. Harvesting index had non significant difference among all treatments

**Key words:** *Oryza sativa*, row spacing, Nitrogen levels, yield components, paddy yield.

### INTRODUCTION

Since fertilizer is an expensive and precious input, determination of an appropriate dosage of application that would be both economical and appropriate to enhance productivity and consequent profit of the grower under given situation needs intensive study. At present the world is facing the problem of shortage of major fertilizer nutrients especially Nitrogen and Phosphorous. The developing countries like Pakistan are more sensitive to this shortage because the fertilizer production in these countries is expensive and less than its demand. Even when the fertilizer supply is satisfactory, the importance of increasing its efficient use cannot be underestimated. The application of nitrogen fertilizer either in excess or less than optimum rate affects both yield and quality of rice to remarkable extent, hence proper management of crop nutrition is of immense importance.

Nitrogen absorbed by rice during the vegetative growth stages contributed in growth during reproduction and grain-filling through translocation (Bufogle *et al.*, 1997; Norman *et al.*, 1992). Nitrogen is very essential for the growth and development of crops. It enhances biomass and seed yield subject to the efficient water supply. Lack of N results stunted growth, pale yellow color, small grain size and poor vegetative as well as reproductive performance. Nitrogen is an essential component of amino acid and related protein of the plant structure. Growth of plants primarily depends on nitrogen availability in soil solution and its utilization by crop plants during growth and development. Dry matter production and its conversion to economic yield is a

cumulative effect of various physiological processes occurring during the plant life cycle. An increase in yield of cereals with increasing rate of nitrogen has been reported earlier (Khan *et al.* 1994). However, it needs to be explored to determine the desired quantity of nitrogen fertilizer for boosting seed yield per unit area avoiding increase in the cost of production through optimizing the N supply of every newly evolved variety.

Light attenuation in row crops, such as rice, is influenced by canopy architecture, which has to be defined in terms of the size, shape orientation of shoot components and row spacing. Cultural practices that improve the efficiency of light interception affect canopy architecture by modifying such components. (Boote and Loomis, 1991). Keeping in view the importance of N supplies in relation to row spacing, the present study was therefore designed to find out the response of N levels in relation to row spacing on dry matter (DM) production, kernel yield and sterility %age of a newly evolved a stiff stemmed, medium grain rice variety KSK-133 for its better-productivity in the region.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was carried out to study the effect of different Nitrogen levels in combination with different row spacing on the performance of new rice variety KSK-133. Three Row spacings (15.5 cm, 22.5cm, & 30cm) and three nitrogen levels (110,133 & 156 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) were studied. The experiment was conducted at Rice Research Institute Kala Shah Kaku during the crop season 2006 and 2007.

The experiment was laid out in split plot

arrangements under the Randomized Complete Block design using three replications. Nursery was sown on 5<sup>th</sup> June and transplanted on 5<sup>th</sup> July. The plot size was 6m x 4m. The following treatments were studied during the experiment.

Factor A main plots (Row Spacing): RS<sub>1</sub> = 5.0 cm, RS<sub>2</sub> = 22.5 cm, RS<sub>3</sub> = 30.0 cm

Factor B sub plots (N levels) :N<sub>1</sub> = 110 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub> = 133 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>3</sub> = 156 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>

All the agronomical practices were kept standard except the application of nitrogen levels and different row spacing. Nitrogen was applied in three splits i.e. at the time of transplanting; 25 days and 45 days after transplanting. Recommended doses of Phosphorous and potassium were applied as a basal at the time of transplanting.

The following observation were recorded during the course of study, Plant height (cm), Tillers m<sup>-2</sup>, Panicle length (cm), No of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>, Thousand (1000) grain weight (g), Harvest Index, Sterility %age, Grain yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). Data on fertile tillers m<sup>-2</sup> were counted at the time of harvesting using a meter square placed randomly at 3 times in each sub plot in different locations. Height of 9 plants at random from each plot was recorded at maturity for the calculation of average plant height. Measurements were taken in cm from the soil surface to the tip of the panicle. Nine panicles at random were collected from each sub plot. Filled and unfilled grains were counted and sterility percentage was calculated. The panicles harvested from the selected plants were used for panicle length. The grains counted from selected plants at random were used for number of grains per panicle. One thousand grains were taken randomly from each sub plot and their weight (g) was recorded. For grain and straw yield (kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) three samples of 10 meter square area harvested at random from each sub plot were selected. Their grain and straw yield was weighed and converted into grain and straw yield (Kg per hectare). Data recorded were statistically analyzed through a computer software M stat C for RCBD with split plot arrangement and LSD test applied

to signify the treatment differences (P < 0.05) (Steel *et al.* 1997)

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Plant height (cm):** It is obvious from the results (Table-1) that there were significant differences among various treatments under test. Maximum plant height (80 cm, 79.07 cm and 78.83 cm) were produced by the treatments RS<sub>1</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (15 cm spacing & 110 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), RS<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (22.5 cm spacing & 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and RS<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (30 cm-156 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), respectively which was statistically at par with each other. The other treatments produced significantly lower plant height. It is evident from the results that plant height increases with the increasing level of N from 110-156 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> irrespective of spacing. Singh and Sharma (1987) reported that application of 180 Kg N/ha resulted in higher plant height of rice. Meena *et al.* (2003) also reported similar results. The increase in plant height with increased N application irrespective of spacing might be primarily due to enhanced vegetative growth with more nitrogen supply to plant.

**1000-Grain weight:** The 1000-grain weight was affected significantly with row spacing and different nitrogen levels. Maximum 1000-grain weight (25.40 g) was obtained in case of treatment RS<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (22.5 cm spacing with 156 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). The other treatments produced significantly lower 1000-grain weight with increasing level of N upto 156 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. When there are more spacing there will be more air, light and inputs availability. Owing to this reason 1000 grain weight was maximums at 22.5 cm & 30 cm spacing as compared to 15 cm spacing. Similar finding have been reported by Bhowmick and Nayak (2000) and Rafey *et al.* (1989). Increase in grain weight at higher nitrogen rates might be primarily due to increase in chlorophyll content of leaves which led to higher photosynthetic rate and ultimately plenty of photosynthates available during grain development

**Table-1. Yield and yield Traits as affected by different row spacing and nitrogen levels**

Treatments	Plant Height (cm)	Tillers m <sup>-2</sup>	Panicle length (cm)	No of grains panicle <sup>-1</sup>	1000 grain Weight(g)
RS <sub>1</sub> -N <sub>1</sub>	69.43 <sup>E</sup>	527.00 <sup>D</sup>	23.03 <sup>CD</sup>	119.43 <sup>F</sup>	70.93 <sup>AB</sup>
RS <sub>1</sub> -N <sub>2</sub>	75.60 <sup>C</sup>	560.98 <sup>B</sup>	22.67 <sup>D</sup>	127.87 <sup>CD</sup>	67.87 <sup>BC</sup>
RS <sub>1</sub> -N <sub>3</sub>	80.00 <sup>A</sup>	601.00 <sup>A</sup>	23.10 <sup>CD</sup>	131.13 <sup>AB</sup>	66.93 <sup>C</sup>
RS <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>1</sub>	71.40 <sup>D</sup>	540.10 <sup>CD</sup>	22.87 <sup>D</sup>	120.30 <sup>F</sup>	72.23 <sup>A</sup>
RS <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>2</sub>	77.33 <sup>B</sup>	552.90 <sup>BC</sup>	23.90 <sup>B</sup>	126.73 <sup>D</sup>	71.17 <sup>AB</sup>
RS <sub>2</sub> -N <sub>3</sub>	79.07 <sup>A</sup>	594.30 <sup>A</sup>	25.40 <sup>A</sup>	132.97 <sup>A</sup>	69.23 <sup>ABC</sup>
RS <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>1</sub>	70.80 <sup>DE</sup>	541.47 <sup>CD</sup>	23.60 <sup>BC</sup>	117.27 <sup>G</sup>	68.60 <sup>BC</sup>
RS <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>2</sub>	75.17 <sup>C</sup>	555.00 <sup>BC</sup>	23.90 <sup>B</sup>	123.20 <sup>E</sup>	69.13 <sup>ABC</sup>
RS <sub>3</sub> -N <sub>3</sub>	78.83 <sup>A</sup>	588.17 <sup>A</sup>	23.73 <sup>BC</sup>	129.57 <sup>BC</sup>	67.73 <sup>BC</sup>
LSD Value	1.410	18.23	0.7248	1.928	3.559

\* Differences in treatment means with same letter are statistically non-significant. Where as RS<sub>1</sub> (15.0 cm), RS<sub>2</sub> (22.5 cm) & RS<sub>3</sub> (30.0 cm) are three levels of row spacing & N<sub>1</sub> (110 kg ha-1), N<sub>2</sub> (133 kg ha-1) & N<sub>3</sub> (156 kg ha-1) are three levels of nitrogen applied

**Fertile tillers m<sup>-2</sup>:** Row spacing and nitrogen levels significantly affected number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup>. Maximum No. of tillers m<sup>-2</sup> were produced in case of treatments RS<sub>1</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (601) RS<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (594.30) and RS<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (588.17) which were statistically at par with each other. The other treatments produced significantly lesser number of tillers m<sup>-2</sup>. The reason is that when there were more plant m<sup>-2</sup>, automatically tillers will be more per m<sup>-2</sup> & this was increases with the increasing level of N as is evident from the results (Table-1). It is evident from the result that maximum level of N (156Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced maximum tiller irrespective of spacing. These results are in line with those reported by Nawaz (2002) and Meena *et al.* (2003). Enhanced tillering by increased nitrogen application might be attributed to more nitrogen supply to plant at active tillering stage.

**No. of grain panicle<sup>-1</sup>:** The data (Table-1) indicated that there was significant difference among treatments under test. Maximum number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup> was produced in case of treatment RS<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (132.97) which were statistically at par with RS<sub>1</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (131.13). The other treatments produced significantly lesser number of grains panicle<sup>-1</sup>. Minimum No of grain panicle<sup>-1</sup> (117.27) were produced under the treatment RS<sub>3</sub>N<sub>1</sub>. As we go from higher level of N to lower level, No. of grain panicle<sup>-1</sup> were reduced. Similar findings have been reported by Bhowmick and Nayak (2000), Nawaz (2002), Namba (2005). The more number of grains per panicle obtained in treatments receiving higher nitrogen rates were probably due to better nitrogen status of plant during panicle growth period. Number of grain per panicle were more in spacing (15 cm & 22.5 cm) instead of 30cm spacing. Similar results were reported by Awan *et al.* (2006) & (2007).

**Table-2 Yield and yield Traits as affected by different row spacing and nitrogen levels**

Treatments	Harvesting Index	Sterility % age	Grain Yield (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Straw Yield (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
RS <sub>1</sub> - N <sub>1</sub>	35.27	6.400 <sup>C</sup>	4461.27 <sup>FG</sup>	8186.63 <sup>EF</sup>
RS <sub>1</sub> - N <sub>2</sub>	34.80	7.400 <sup>B</sup>	4866.37 <sup>DE</sup>	9115.82 <sup>CD</sup>
RS <sub>1</sub> - N <sub>3</sub>	34.96	6.300 <sup>CD</sup>	5274.93 <sup>AB</sup>	9812.80 <sup>AB</sup>
RS <sub>2</sub> - N <sub>1</sub>	35.92	5.900 <sup>CD</sup>	4688.87 <sup>EF</sup>	8366.40 <sup>EF</sup>
RS <sub>2</sub> - N <sub>2</sub>	34.85	5.767 <sup>D</sup>	4976.47 <sup>CD</sup>	9303.33 <sup>BCD</sup>
RS <sub>2</sub> - N <sub>3</sub>	36.11	5.700 <sup>D</sup>	5461.03 <sup>A</sup>	9662.03 <sup>A</sup>
RS <sub>3</sub> - N <sub>1</sub>	35.63	8.333 <sup>A</sup>	4354.60 <sup>G</sup>	7867.27 <sup>F</sup>
RS <sub>3</sub> - N <sub>2</sub>	35.01	8.333 <sup>A</sup>	4727.07 <sup>DE</sup>	8773.43 <sup>DE</sup>
RS <sub>3</sub> - N <sub>3</sub>	35.11	7.300 <sup>B</sup>	5163.20 <sup>BC</sup>	9541.33 <sup>ABC</sup>
LSD Value	NS	0.6290	251.7	652.0

Means with different letters in a column differ significantly (P < 0.05). Where as RS<sub>1</sub> (15.0 cm), RS<sub>2</sub> (22.5 cm) & RS<sub>3</sub> (30.0 cm) are three levels of row spacing & N<sub>1</sub> (110 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), N<sub>2</sub> (133 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) & N<sub>3</sub> (156 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) are three levels of nitrogen applied.

**Harvesting Index:** Data in Table-2 revealed that this trait exhibited non-significant differences among row spacing

and nitrogen levels. However, maximum value (36.11) was achieved in the treatments RS<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>. The reason was that when we planted rice plant at proper spacing, there were more tillers per plant. When there were more plants m<sup>-2</sup>, automatically tillers will be more per m<sup>-2</sup> and this increases with the increasing level of N as is evident from the results (Table-2).

**Sterility percentage:** Data (Table-2) indicated significant differences among the treatments. Maximum sterility (8.333%) was recorded in the treatments RS<sub>3</sub>N<sub>1</sub> and RS<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>. The least sterility (5.7%) was noted in the treatment RS<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>. The reason of maximum sterility %age at wider spacing is that there were more tertiary tillers, which bear late flowering. Among them some were fertilized & majority was non fertilized due to lowering temperature and weak tiller. This caused maximum sterility %age. Similar results were reported by Awan *et al.* (2006) & (2007), who reported that sterility %age significantly decreases from primary tillers to tertiary & late tertiary tillers

**Grain yield:** The results (Table 2) indicated that paddy yield was significantly affected by row spacing and nitrogen levels. Maximum paddy yield (5461.03 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained in case of treatment RS<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (22.5 cm spacing with 156 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by the treatment RS<sub>1</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (15 cm spacing with 156 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) which yielded 5274.93 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and was at par with each other. All the other treatments produced significantly lower yield. The lowest paddy yield (4354.50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was observed by the treatment RS<sub>3</sub>N<sub>1</sub> (30 cm spacing with 110 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). The reason is that at standard & closer spacing, there were more primary & secondary tillers than tertiary tillers as compared to rice planting at 30 cm spacing. Awan *et al.* (2006) & (2007) reported that yield significantly decreased from primary tillers to tertiary & late tertiary tillers. This was the main reason of more yield in plots of RS<sub>1</sub> and RS<sub>2</sub>. Gunri and Chaudhury (2004) reported that closer spacing (15cm x 15 cm) proved better in grain yield of rice, nitrogen use efficiency and N uptake was better than the wider row spacing. Yield increases with the increasing level of N from 110 to 156 Kg/ha. Similar finding had been reported by Bhowmick and Nayak (2000) and Boling *et al.* (2004).

**Straw Yield:** It is obvious from the data (Table 2) that maximum straw yield (9662.03 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) was achieved in case of treatment RS<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub> (22.5 cm -156 kg N/ha) followed by the treatment RS<sub>1</sub> N<sub>3</sub> (15 cm spacing with 156 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>) which produced 9612.80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and were at par with each other. The other treatments produced significantly lower straw yield. Similar finding have been reported by Bhowmick and Nayak (2000). Gunri, and Chaudhury (2004) who reported that closer spacing (15cm x 15 cm) proved better in straw yield of rice and nitrogen use efficiency and N uptake was better than the

wider row spacing

**Conclusion:** It can be concluded that application of nitrogen fertilizer offers a large scope for obtaining higher yield of rice. It appears that good harvest of KSK-133 can be achieved by the application of 156 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> with row to row and plant to plant spacing of 22.5 cm.

## REFERENCES

- Awan T. H., Z. Manzoor, M. E. Safdar and M. Ahmad (2006). Studies on the influence of primary, secondary and tertiary tillers on yield and yield related traits in basmati-2000. *Pakistan J Sci.* 59 (1-2): 43-46
- Awan T. H., M. Ahmad, R. A. Ali, M. Anwar and Z. Manzoor (2007). Contribution of different tillers with in a plant of super basmati to grain yield of rice. *J Agri. Res.* 44 (2) :237-243
- Bhowmick, N. and R. L. Nayak (2000). Response of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa*) varieties to nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers during dry (boro) season in West Bengal. *Indian J. Agron.* 45(2):323-326.
- Boling, A., A. T. P. Tuonga, S. Y. Jatmikob and M. A. Buraca (2004). Yield constraints of rain fed lowland rice in Central Java, Indonesia. *Field Crop Res.* 2(3):351-360.
- Boote, K. J. and R. S. Loomis (1991). The prediction of canopy assimilation. *Modeling Crop Photosynthesis from Biochemistry to Canopy.* Crop Science Society of America. Special Publication No. 19, Madison, WI. 109-140.
- Bufole, A., P. K. Bollich, R. J. Norman, J. L. Kovar, C. W. Lindau and R. E. Macchiavelli (1997). Rice plant growth and nitrogen accumulation in drill-seeded and water-seeded culture. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 61:832-839.
- Gunri, S. K. and S. K. Choudhury (2004). Effect of integrated nitrogen application and spacing on yield of rice (*Oryza sativa*) in foot-hill soils of West Bengal. *Indian J. Agron.* 49(4):248-250.
- Khan, A., M. Sarfaraz, N. Ahmad and B. Ahmad (1994). Effect of N dose and irrigation depth on nitrate movement in soil and N uptake by maize. *Agric. Res.* 32:47-54.
- Meena, S. L., S. Surendra, Y. S. Shivay and S. Singh (2003). Response of hybrid rice (*Oryza sativa*) to nitrogen and potassium application in sandy clay loam soils. *Indian J. Agri. Sci.* 73(1): 8-11.
- Munda, G. C. (1989). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorus on rice growth and yield under upland conditions of Japan. *An. Agric. Res.* 10 (4): 415-419.
- Namba, T. (2005). A method of nitrogen application for maximizing rice yield in the Nile delta. *Japanese J. Crop Sci.* 74(3):253-259.
- Nawaz, H. M. A. (2002). Effect of various levels and methods of nitrogen application on nitrogen use efficiency in rice Super Basmati. M.Sc. Thesis, Deptt. Agron, Univ. Agric., Faisalabad.
- Norman, R. J., D. Guindo, B. R. Wells and C. E. Wilson (1992). Seasonal accumulation and partitioning of nitrogen-15 in rice. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 56:1521-1527.
- Oscar, R. V. and M. Tollenaar (2004). Vertical profile of leaf senescence during the grain filling period in older and newer maize hybrids. *Crop Sci. Soc. of America.* 44:827-834.
- Rafey, A., P. A. Khan and V. C. Srivastava (1989). Effect of N on growth, yield and nutrient uptake of upland rice, *Indian J. Agron.* 34(1); 133-135.
- Singh, K. N., and D. K. Sharma (1987). Response to nitrogen of rice in sodic soil. *Inter. Rice Res. News Letter.* 12(3): 45.
- Steel, R. G. D., J. H. Torrie and Dickey (1997). *Principles and procedures of statistics* (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed.). Mc Graw Hill Book Co; Singapore pp. 172-177.