

IMPACT OF GRAZING ON PLANT BIODIVERSITY OF DESERT AREA OF DISTRICT KHAIRPUR, SINDH, PAKISTAN

Khalil Ahmed Ansari, Abdul Rahim Malik, Abdul Razak Mahar, Altaf Ahmed Simair, and Ameer Ahmed Mirbahar*

Department of Botany, Shah Abdul Latif University, Khairpur Mir's, 66111, Sindh, Pakistan

*Corresponding Address: amir_salu@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The effect of grazing on desert plant species from 3 distinct habitats i.e. KotDiji sand dune, Ubhan Shah flat dune and Sorah inter-dunal area of District Khairpur was studied by using quadrat method. The parameters studied include each plant species for cover, total plant cover of grazed, un-grazed and mean % reduction in cover (cm) from pre-monsoon and post monsoon seasons were quantified. The results revealed that there was a considerable effect of grazing on plant species; however the intensity varied from plant to plant and season to season, in the studied areas. There was highest grazing intensity of *Indigofera* species leading to endanger in this area. In all sites, maximum reduction in cover was observed in *Indigofera oblongifolia* and *Indigofera argentea*, with 62.8 and 57.2 cm, respectively during pre-monsoon, and 61.5 and 52.8 cm in post-monsoon, *Aristida funiculata* also possesses high grazing intensity with 40.3 and 54.2 cm in pre and post-monsoon seasons. The *Convolvulus prostratus*, *Cassia italica*, *Dipterygium glaucum* and *Digera arvensis* showed grazing intensities with 47.8, 57.4, 25.2, 42.7 in pre-monsoon season and 44.3, 35.9, 45.7, 53.7 in post monsoon seasons, respectively. The low grazing intensity was observed in dominant plant communities *Aervajavanica*, *Calligonum polygonoides*, *Leptadenia pyrotechnica* in a pre-monsoon season with 7.8, 17.4, 13.2 cm, whereas, it was increased in post-monsoon with 11.5, 25.9, 32 in the reduction of cover (cm), respectively. These plant species grazed by the animals at that time when ephemerals are not available in the desert in a drought period. It is suggested that partial protection and controlled grazing can be beneficial to get positive results and maintain the desert vegetation in the study area.

Key words: Grazing effect, plant biodiversity, desert area, pre-monsoon, and post-monsoon

INTRODUCTION

Vegetation has played a significant role as reservoirs of fodder in the time of severe drought and provides perennial vegetation matrix the plant cover necessary to prevent soil erosion and consequent depletion of reservoirs of nutrients which are more concentrated in the surface rather than subsurface layers in many arid zone soils (Beadle, 1962; Charley and Cowling 1968; Marshall 1969). Vegetation of the desert region is adapted to high temperature and moisture fluctuations coupled with edaphic conditions. Plants of this region grow slowly but respond quickly to climatic conditions and a large portion of the species can possibly recover even with insufficient precipitation.

Grazing is very important factor to the desert habitat, where food is the first priority for the domestic animals of this area. Grazing may also promote biodiversity, but the extreme level grazing significantly reduces the vegetation cover (Diaz *et al.*, 2007; Dreber and Esler, 2011; Tessema *et al.*, 2012). Lin *et al.*, (2010) studied the intensity of grazing affects the pattern of vegetation at various levels. High-intensity grazing decrease the above ground biomass, indicating that vegetation was more fragmented under higher grazing pressure. Cheng *et al.*, (2011) investigated the

effect of annual rainfall and grazing pressure, annual precipitation showed the positive and negative relationship with grass and shrub richness, different groups affected by grazing and rainfall variability in different zones.

A few reports have been published on rangelands in desert area and grazing effects from Cholistan, Thar and Nara Desert (Malik, 1986; Iqbal and Khan, 2001; Khan and Frost, 2001; Qureshi and Bhatti, 2005; Akhtar and Arshad, 2006). These reports revealed that grazing has great impacts on the rangeland in various ways. It affects the vegetation cover, compacts the soil by hoof actions, roughing up, an addition of minerals and nutrients by droppings. Overgrazing and lack of efficient management strategies destroy the native vegetation, enhance soil erosion, increase weed invasion that leads to alter the plant communities and floristic composition (Rao *et al.*, 1989; Nasiret *et al.*, 2010). Qureshi and Ahmed, (2010) observed that the anthropogenic exercises are non-stop threat in Achhro Thar Desert and as a result, local plant species are very rapidly disappearing at an alarming rate and most of them recorded as rare.

District Khairpur is situated on the left bank of the great Indus River in Sindh province of Pakistan. It lies between 26° - 12' to 27° - 24', north latitudes and 68° - 13' to 70° - 10' east longitudes. Its total area is about 15910 km² out of which one-fourth area is fertile, while

rest of the area encompasses galaxy of huge hills of sandy desert known as “*Bhit*” (Fig. 1). The weather of this area is generally hot and arid with maximum average summer temperature ranges between 41.50°C to 44.30°C while in winter it goes down from 29.8°C to 6.4°C. The hot season begins from the middle of March to middle of September and hottest months are June, July and August occasionally touching freezing point. The annual rainfall was recorded 29.7mm to 99.4mm during 2004 to 2007 per annum out of which mostly falls in July and August. Humidity was high (56%) in August and 16% in April (CDPC, Metrological Department Karachi).

In study area of Khairpur District animals depend on the pastoral productivity/vegetation which is not abundant in the area because region receives a low amount of rainfall during the monsoon season inducing the growth of surface vegetation, which generally competes for the resources and affects the growth and productivity of available vegetation. The present study was carried out to evaluate the grazing effects on vegetation of this area. To the best of our knowledge, no any report published with reference to estimated the loss of vegetation of this area. Therefore, this is the first ever attempt to estimate how much loss these communities from overgrazing? how quickly these plants recover from such losses?

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The three different field sites were selected to study the effects of grazing on the vegetation during 2004 to 2007. The sites include: Site A: Kotdiji Sand dunes area. (27° 19' 42.00 N – 68° 42'.99 E), Site B: Ubhan Shah, flat dunes were selected area. (27° 21' 23.00 N – 68° 46'.23 E) and Site C: Sorah: Inter dune area with hummocks. (27° 17' 15.00 N – 68° 54'.54 E) as shown in Fig. 1. To effects of grazing on vegetation were studied during the period from 2004 to 2007. Data was collected in terms of cover of plant species from all three study sites, grazing preference by domestic animals for key plant species and check list of these species were prepared. The quadrat method was used in the study. The total number of quadrats was 100 from which 50 were temporary and 50 permanent. The size of each quadrat was 10x10 meters (Hussain, 1984; Hussain, 1989). The data of cover were collected from protected and unprotected areas from all three sites, twice a year in March-April (pre-monsoon) and September-October (post-monsoon) and calculated the percentage of cover reduction from grazed and un-grazed cover of under grazing plants species in pre monsoon and post monsoon. The information was collected from the field and estimated how much loss these plants could tolerate from overgrazing and how quickly these plants recover from the severe attack of over grazing and water stress and then analyzed in the laboratory.

RESULTS

In order to study the effect of grazing on vegetation from all 3 study sites of District Khairpur and key plant species by grazing preference of domestic animals were selected and prepared a checklist of these species (Table 1). The grazing effects were observed during the study period from 2004 to 2007 in the capacity of mean % reduction in cover (cm) in comparison to un-grazed plant species.

At the site A (Kotdiji), highest intensity of grazing was found for *Indigofera oblongifolia* with 56.4 and 52.33% reduction cover as compared to un-grazed in pre monsoon and post monsoon respectively. The same grazing effects were found in *D. arvensis*, 45.15% continuous grazed by animals, in pre-monsoon, and increased in post monsoon 53.56%, followed by *C. italica* 37.78%, *L. pyrotechnica*, 34.58% and *S. oleoides* 5.69%, reduction in cover in pre-monsoon while in post monsoon the grazing intensity decreased 29.58 and 19.5 of palatable species *C. italica* and *L. pyrotechnica* respectively. In contrast, the grazing intensity increased with 18.12 in *S. Oleoides* during post monsoon. Grazing observed in *C. polygonoides* with 30.85 in post monsoon season (Fig. 2A)

At the site B (Ubhan Shah), more species were added in the grazed plant species due to topography and better soil conditions at the site. Maximum grazing intensity in pre-monsoon season was found in *C. italica* 63.21% followed by *D. Glaucum* 58.92%, *C. polygonoides* 40.59% and *S. oleiodes* 38.37%, *A. javanica* 37.72% whereas lowest grazing intensity of 2.92% was observed in *L. pyrotechnica*. In contrast, the grazing intensity was increased *D. glaucum* 75.9%, *P. lanceolate* (68.81%) and *L. pyrotechnica* (41.41%) in post monsoon season. While grazing intensity of *A. javanica* (18.57%), *A. funiculate* (39.28%), *C. polygonoides* (29.92%) and *C. italica* (29.99%) was decreased in pre monsoon season (Fig. 2 B).

The site C (Sorah) exhibited a better position due to depression in inter dune area, where availability of moisture content in soil and more plant species in addition to grazing plant list. In this site, there were 11 key plant species under-grazed. The highest intensity of grazing was observed in *I. argentea* with 62.67% and 57.43% and *C. prostrates* (48.28% and 43.46%) in pre and post monsoon seasons respectively. These plant species were observed continuously throughout year for grazing *A. javanica* and *A. tomentosa* were found moderately grazed (20.15 and 37.30) in pre-monsoon, while the grazing intensity was increased with 28.88% and 52.16% in post monsoon, respectively. The grazing effect was observed in *H. europium* and *L. pyrotechnica*, with 21.47%, and 26.74% respectively in post monsoon. In

contrast, *S.oleiodes*(48.51%) reduction in cover recorded in pre-monsoon instead of post-monsoon (Fig.2C).

During the study period from 2004 to 2007 the constant species of all three study sites (Kotdiji, Ubhan Shah and Sorah) were generally affected by animal grazing. In comparison to un-grazed, grazed plant species had more mean % of reduction in cover (cm) was observed. *Aervajavaniva* was observed with moderate grazing at 26.6% in pre-monsoon, while the grazing intensity increased to 19.8% and 34.4% in post monsoon in 2004 and 2007 respectively. *Aervatomensa* at site C, continuously under grazed throughout study period 2004 to 2007, and the maximum grazing intensity recorded 67.3% in post monsoon of 2006 was recorded. It was also found that the grazing intensity in increased in post monsoon than pre-monsoon. *A. funiculata* showed 57.5% grazing rate at initial period of study in 2004 with the passage of time it was increased finally to 77 in pre-monsoon of 2007 and high grazing intensity 88.2 and 90.4 were recorded during 2006 and 2007 in post-monsoon (Fig. 3).

The *C.italica*, *C.protrates* and *D.arvensis* were under grazed in both pre-monsoon and post-monsoon seasons. The *C. italic* showed maximum grazing intensity of 71.5% in pre-monsoon of 2006, while *C.protratus* exhibited maximum grazing intensity (75.8%) in 2005 and decreased by followed period 2006 and 2007 in pre-monsoon but the grazing intensity gradually increased from 15.3% to 55.5% during the study period from 2004 to 2007 in post-monsoon. The grazing intensity of *D.arvensis* grazing intensity was gradually increased from 50.1% to 89.35% in yearly from 2004 to 2007 in pre-monsoon season. In case of a post-monsoon season, the maximum grazing intensity 66.1% was recorded in 2006, while it decreased to 27.1% in followed 2007. The *D.glaucm* was affected by moderate grazing intensity with maximum 33.8% in 2004 during pre-monsoon, and intensity increased gradually and reached to 70.2% in 2007 during post-monsoon (Fig 3).

The *I.argentea* and *I.oblongifolia* grazed by animals throughout the study period in both seasons (pre-monsoon and post-monsoon) and grazing intensity increased as 56.2% to 58.4% and 45.7% to 81.2% in pre-monsoon whereas 53.7% to 76.6% and 38.1% to 64.2% reduction in cover from 2004 to 2007 were recorded. The grazing trend showed that both species of *Indigofera* were heavily under-grazed. The maximum grazing intensity of *C.polygonoides* with 35.9% and 31.2% in later study period of 2006 and 2007 respectively in pre-monsoon season and 16.7 to 28.1 during the study period from 2004 to 2007 in post monsoon were observed. The *L.pyrotechnica* was not affected by animal grazing in early period of study but grazed moderately with 23.8% and 27.7% in pre-monsoon, and intensity was increased with 48.0 and 48.1 in post monsoon, later years 2006 and 2007 (Fig. 3).

Finally, grazing effects on vegetation in comparison with un-grazed in terms of mean % reduction in cover (cm), all sites and study period from 2004 to 2007, Highest grazing intensity was observed in *I.oblongifolia* and *I.argentea*, at site A (Kotdiji) and site B (Ubhan Shah), with 62.8% and 57.2% in pre-monsoon, same result observed with 61.5% and 52.8% in post monsoon, respectively, and it was observed in the field the seed-eating animals grazed all seasons. *A.funiculata* also possesses high grazing intensity with 40.3% and 54.2% in pre and post monsoon. Followed by *C.protrates*, *C.italica*, *D.glaucum* and *D.arvensis* 47.8, 57.4, 25.2, 42.7 in pre-monsoon season and 44.3, 35.9, 45.7, 53.7 in post monsoon season, respectively. First and later two species were shown vice versa results in pre and post monsoon. *S.oleiodes* moderately grazed with 38.2% and 32.5% cover reduction in both seasons. While the dominant plant communities at all sites *A.javanica*, *C.polygonoides*, *L.pyrotechnica*, observed low grazing intensity in a pre-monsoon season with 7.8, 17.4, 13.2, respectively, it was increased in post-monsoon with 11.5, 25.9, 32 in a reduction of cover (Fig. 4).





Fig 1: Map of District Khairpur









Fig:2 .Percentage in reduction of cover at different study sites A.SiteAKotdiji Sand dunes area. B. Site B Ubhan Shah flat dune area. C. Site C Sorahinter dune area, District Khairpur. Y indicates % reduction in cover cm /mean.






Fig:3 . Percentage reduction in cover during the study period 2004 to 2007. Y indicates % reduction (cover cm / mean). Reduction in cover % in 2004 (cover cm / mean).




Fig: 4 Percentages reduction in cover comparison between pre monsoon and post monsoon during study period in study sites, (cover cm/mean).

Table 1. List of desert plants under grazing at three sites A, B and C, of District Khairpur.

S.No.	Family	Plant species	Local Name	Habit	Grazing Animals	SSites
1	Amaranthaceae	<i>Aervajavanica</i> (Burm.F) Juss.	Booh	Perennial Shrub	Goat, Sheeps& Camels.	AA B C
2	Amaranthaceae	<i>Aervatomentosa</i> Forssk.	Booh	Perennial Shrub	Goat, Sheeps& Camels.	BC
3	Poaceae	<i>Aristida funiculate</i> Trin. & Rupr.	LumbGaah	Annual Herb	Sheeps.	BB
4	Poaceae	<i>Aristidamutabilis</i> T.& R.	LumbGaah	Annual Herb	Sheeps.	CC
5	Nyctaginaceae	<i>Boerhavia procumbens</i> Roxb.	Bank ex Sana wari	Perennial Herb	Goat, Sheeps& Cattles.	CC
6	Polygalaceae	<i>Calligonum polygonoides</i> L.	Phoog	Perennial Shrub	All Animals	A AB C
7	Caesalpiaceae	<i>Cassia italica</i> (Mill) F.W.Andr.	GhoraWal	Perennial Shrub	All Animals	AA B
8	Convolvulaceae	<i>Convolvulus prostrates</i> Fork.	Kranjh	Perennial Shrub	Goat, Sheeps& Cattles.	CC
9	Amaranthaceae	<i>Digera arvensis</i> Forsk.	Lular type	Annual Herb	All Animals	CA
10	Capparidaceae	<i>Dipterygium glaucum</i> Dence.	Phair	Perennial or under shrub	All Animals	BB C
11	Boraginaceae	<i>Heliotropium europium</i> L.	Utkharo	Annual Herb	Camels.	CC
12	Papilionaceae	<i>Indigofera argentea</i> Burm.f.	Jhil	Perennial Shrub	All Animals	CC
13	Papilionaceae	<i>Indigofera oblongifolia</i>	Jhil	Perennial	All Animals	AA

14	Asclepidaceae	<i>Leptadeniapyrotechnica</i> (Forsk)Dence.	Khipp	Shrub Perennial Shrub	Goats, Sheeps& Camels	A AB C
15	Asteraceae	<i>Pluchealanceolata</i> Olive &Hiern.	Phar	Perennial or under shrub	Goat, Sheeps& Cattles.	BB
16	Salvadoaceae	<i>Salvadoraoleoides</i> Dencene.	Peroon	Perennial Shrub	Camels.	AA B C
17	Poaceae	<i>Stipagrostisplumosa</i> (L.) Munro ex T. Anderss.	LumbGaah	Perennial Shrub	All Animals	BB

DISCUSSION

A large proportion of world covers the rangeland, which is important for livestock feed as well as the farmer and local people of area (Suttie and Reynolds, 2003; Upton, 2004). Study areas of district Khairpur possess low productivity due to the harsh arid environment. Rearing livestock is specialty of the people of understudy desert area, and livestock grazing is much beyond the region's carrying capacity, hence over grazing affects the plant diversity and reduces the cover and density of plant communities due to preferential choice of plant species by animals.

In desert, soil degradation and perennial palatable plant species loss increase the biotic pressure on breakable ecosystems and determined the level of grazing in terms of capacity of cover and density in desert rangelands (Tarhouni *et al.*, 2006; Ouledet *et al.*, 2008 and 2013). The Vegetative cover reduction and productivity lead to steppe and desertification (Le Houerou, 1969). Yeaton and Flores, (2009) described that grazing affects the vegetation by cover and density. Severe animal grazing results in higher reduction of cover (Diaz *et al.*, 2007; Dreber and Esler, 2011; Tessema *et al.*, 2012).

The results demonstrated that protected ungrazed plant species significantly increase the total cover of plant while decreasing the cover of grazed plant species. The grazing effects were observed during study period 2004 to 2007. The highest grazing intensity >50% mean reduction in cover (cm), *I.oblongifolia* and *I. argentea* in both seasons pre-monsoon and post monsoon, at site A and site C, respectively. *Indigofera* grazed by animals in both seasons and affected severely, because of the high protein level in seeds and preferable for grain eating animals, due to high-intensity grazing *Indigofera* affected harshly and going to be endangered species list of the area.

The moderate grazing intensity was found < 50% mean reduction in cover (cm), *D. arvensis*, in pre-monsoon but the grazing intensity was significantly increased in post monsoon at site A, while in case of *C. italic* the grazing intensity significantly higher in pre-monsoon than post monsoon. Dominant plant species *A.javanica*, and *C.polygonoides* high in pre-monsoon,

while the grazing intensity significantly decreased in post monsoon. In contrast, grazing intensity *L.pyrotechnica* was observed low grazing intensity in pre-monsoon and significantly increased in post monsoon at site B. In the field it was observed that foliage and smaller twigs of younger shoots of *A. javanic* grazed by animals at the time when other ephemerals were not available. *C. polygonoides* grazed by all animals all time and all the plant except roots and thick stem. In desert area *L. pyrotechnica* grazed by animal especially camels and sheep and goats grazed foliage and legumes in drought period the desert. The variation in grazing intensity of each plant species in different study sites due to the variation in soils and topography.

Enright and Miller, (2007) investigated that after rainfall species richness and cover significantly higher than dry season. Rainfall is an important factor for plant growth in Cholistan (Arshad *et al.*, 2008). Perennial plant species dominant over annual species findings given by Qureshi *et al.*, (2011), so the presence of perennial plant species is an evident of low rainfall.

Results of the study found in line and agreed above aforementioned studied. Similarly, species richness is not affected by grazing in the study area because of monsoon rain falls. Mostly the grass species and annual were eliminate in dry season but, after monsoon rainfall the environmental conditions are favorable, the vegetative growth of desert plant species enhance, and germination of seeds, in desert area the seed which are present under canopy in sand germinate with quick response, in few days the germinating seed increase in density and cover of the plant population, while the mortality of seedlings under old bushes or around the old bushes was increased due to grazing of animals in the desert area. So for that, animals grazing preference quite changed with the season's i.e pre-monsoon and post monsoon. Grazing intensity subject to seasons, found in % mean reduction in cover (cm), comprising all three sites and study period 2004 to 2007, highest intensity of grazing found by *I.oblongifolia*, *I. argentea*, and *A.funiculata* in both seasons pre-monsoon and post monsoon, respectively, and it was also observed in the field the seed-eating animals grazed all seasons. Moderate grazing intensity found < 50 % mean reduction in cover (cm) *C. prostrates*,

C.italica, *D.glaucum*, *D.arvensis* and *S.oleoides* in both seasons pre-monsoon and post monsoon, but grazing intensity of *C. prostratus*, *C.italica* higher than *D.glaucum*, *D. arvensis* in pre-monsoon, while lower in post monsoon, grazing intensity varied in seasons.

The dominant plants communities *A.javanica*, *C.polygonoides*, *L.pyrotechnica*, observed low intensity in pre-monsoon season, and it was increased in post monsoon. It was also observed that these plant species grazed by animals at the drought period, when ephemeral was not available at sites, this was supported by the morphological characters of these plant species observed drought resisting xerophytes.

Conclusion: Plants provide the valuable fodder to the herbivores but an intensity of grazing varies from plant to plant and season to season, in harsh desert environment overgrazing affects the vegetation in the loss of cover, the plant diversity decreases, enhance the desertification, and distribution of plant species. Due to severely grazed *Indigofera* going to endangered species list in this area. It is suggested that partial protection and controlled grazing can show positive results in desert plant communities of the study area. More studies are required and could be conducted in this respect.

REFERENCES

- Akhtar, R. and M. Arshad(2006). Arid rangelands in the Cholistan desert (Pakistan). *Sécheresse*.17: 210-217.
- Arshad, M., Anwar-ul-Hussan, M.Y. Ashraf, S. Noureen and M. Moazzam(2008).Edaphic factors and distribution of vegetation in the Cholistan desert, Pakistan.Pakistan J. Bot., 40(5): 1923-1931.
- Beadle, N.C.W.(1962).Soil phosphate and the delimitation of plant communities in eastern Australia. II. Ecology 43: 281-288.
- Charley, J.L and S.W. Cowling (1968).Changes in soil nutrients status resulting from over grazing and their consequences in plant communities of semi arid areas. Proc. Ecol.Soc. Aust. 3: 28-38.
- Cheng, Y., M. Tsubo, T.Y. Ito, E. Nishihara and M. Shinoda(2011).Impact of rainfall variability and grazing pressure on plant diversity in Mongolian grasslands. J. Arid Environ., 75: 471-476.
- Computerized data processing center, Pakistan Metrological Department, University Road Karachi.
- Díaz, S., S. Lavorel, S. McIntyre, V., Falczuk, F., Casanoves and D.G.Milchunas (2007).Plant trait responses to grazing e a global synthesis. Global Change Biol. 13: 313-341.
- Dreber, N. and K.J.Esler (2011).Spatio-temporal variation in soil seed banks under contrasting grazing regimes following low and high seasonal rainfall in arid Namibia. J. Arid Environ. 75: 174-184.
- Enright, N.J. and B.P. Miller(2007).Livestock grazing impact on desert vegetation, Khirthar National Park, Pakitan.Rangeland Ecol Manage 60:680-684.
- Hussain.F. (1989).Field and laboratory manual of plant ecology, 1st ed. National Academy of Higher education Commision, Islamabad.
- Hussain.S.S. (1984). Pakistan Manual of Plant Ecology, 1st ed. National book foundation, Islamabad.
- Iqbal, A. and B.B. Khan(2001).Feeding behavior of camel.Pakistan J.Agricult.Sci.. 38: 58-63.
- Khan, T.I and Frost (2001).Floral biodiversity a question of survival in the Indian Thar Desert. The Environmentalist 21 Kluwer academic Pullishers, Netherlant 231-236.
- Le Houerou H.N.(1969).Vegetation of the Tunisian steppe (with the references to Morocco, Algeria and Libya). Ann. Inst. Nat. Rech. Agron. Tunisia, 42(5): 622.
- Lin, Y., M. Hong, G., Han, M. Zhao, Y. Bai and S.X. Chang(2010). Grazing intensity affected spatial patterns of vegetation and soil fertility in a desert steppe.Agr.Ecosyst.Environment., 138: 282-292.
- Malik.A.R. (1986). Analysis of *Aervajavanica*, *Leptadeniapyrotechnica*, and *Calligonumpolygonoides* communities from sand dunes of KotDiji, district Khairpur, Sindh, Pakistan. Sind Uni. Res. Jour(Sci. Sr.) 18(1): 1-18.
- Marshall, J. K. (1969). Site susceptibility to aedi an erosion in semi Arid and arid Australia, Univ. of New England, Armide. Drought Seminar 24-30 May. 1969. 32 pp.
- Nasir, M.F., S.Iram, Y. Akhtar, A. Majeed, S. Ismail and F. Lin (2010). AFLP based analysis of genetic diversity in buffle grass. World Appl. Sci. J. 10(5): 560-567.
- Ouled B.A. and M. Louhaichi(2013).The vulnerability of native range land plant species to global climate change in the West Africa and North African regions.Climatic Change. 119(2): 451-463.
- Ouled.B.A., H.B. Salem, A.Bouaicha and M. El-Mourid(2008).Cmmunal rangeland ret in arid area, a total for facing animal feed costs and drought mitigation: the case of Chenini Community, Southern Tunisia.J. Bio. Sci. 8(4):822-825.
- Qureshi, R. and G.R. Bhatti(2005). Nara Desert, Pakistan: I: Soils, Climate and Vegetation. *Rangelands*, 27(5): 27-31.
- Qureshi, R. and M. Ahmed(2010). Some notes on the vegetation of Achhro Thar(White Desert) of

- Nara region, Sindh, Pakistan. Pakistan. J. Bot., 42(5): 2985-2994.
- Qureshi, R., G.R.Bhatti., and G. Shabbir(2011).Floristic inventory of PirMehar Ali Shah Aid Agricultural University research farm at Koont and its surrounding areas.Pakistan.J. Bot. 43:1679-1684.
- Rao, A.R., M. Arshad and M.Shafiq (1989).Perennial grass germplasm of Cholistan Desert and their phytosociology.Cholistan Institute of Desert Studies, Islamia University Bahawalpur.pp 84.
- Suttie, J.M. and S.G. Reynolds. 2003. Transhumant grazing systems in temperateAsia. Plant Production and Protection Series, No 31, FAO Rome, 331 p.
- Tarhouni, M., OuledB.A., M. Neffati and B. Henchi(2006).Validation de quelques attributs structuraux de l'écoyteme sous l'effet de la secheressesaisonniereet la pressionanimaleautour de pointsd'eau en zone aridetunisienne. Belg.V.Bot. 139(2): 188-202.
- Tessema, Z.K., W.B. de Boer, R.M.T. Baars, and H.H.T.Prins(2012). Influence of grazing on soil seed banks determines the restoration potential of aboveground vegetation in a semi-arid savanna of Ethiopia. Biotropica 44: 211-219.
- Upton, M.(2004).The role of livestock in economic development and poverty reduction.Pro-Poor Livestock Policy Initiative (PPLPI) Working Paper No.10. FAO, Rome, 59 p.
- Yeatonand Flores(2009).Community structure of southernChihuahuan desert grassland under different pressures.South Afr. J. Bot. 75: 510-517.