

EFFECT OF SPLIT APPLICATION OF POTASH ON YIELD AND YIELD RELATED TRAITS OF BASMATI RICE

Z. Manzoor, T. H. Awan, M. Ahmad, M. Akhter and F. A. Faiz

Rice Research Institute Kala Shah Kaku, Lahore, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Effect of split application of recommended dose of potash on the yield and yield components of rice was studied at Rice Research Institute Kala Shah Kaku during Kharif season of two years i.e. 2006 & 2007. In this investigation a recommended dose of potash fertilizer (62 kg ha^{-1}) was tested in six different treatments i.e. T_1 (all potash applied as basal), T_2 (all potash applied at 25 DAT) T_3 (all potash applied at 45 DAT), T_4 ($\frac{1}{2}$ potash applied as basal and remaining $\frac{1}{2}$ at 25 DAT), T_5 ($\frac{1}{2}$ potash applied at 25 DAT and remaining $\frac{1}{2}$ at 45 DAT) and T_6 ($\frac{1}{3}$ potash applied as basal, $\frac{1}{3}$ at 25 DAT and remaining $\frac{1}{3}$ at 45 DAT). The treatment T_1 , where whole potash was applied as a basal was compared with five other treatments. Means of paddy yield and yield components of rice averaged across two years showed that maximum value of plant height (126.62cm), tillers per hill (20.22), panicle length (28.74 cm), No. of grains per panicle (103.80), 1000 grains weight (20.91 gm) and paddy yield (3.34 t/ha) were obtained in treatment receiving split use of Potash as $\frac{1}{2}$ at 25 DAT and $\frac{1}{2}$ at 45 DAT. Treatment T_2 where whole potash was applied at 25 DAT ranked at second and least value of yield (2.86 t/ha) and all yield related attributes were achieved in the treatment T_1 where all potash was applied as basal. The increased grain yield of rice with split applying of potash in T_5 may be due to continuous supply of K to crop during crop growth stages. The efficient potash uptake by rice plant results in better growth and development when applied at maximum tillering stage (25 DAT) and at panicle initiation stage (45 DAT).

Keywords: Potash, rice, split application, Yield and yield traits.

INTRODUCTION

Rice being one of the richest starchy foods is consumed by about half of the world's population. Rice is one of the most important summer cereal crops of Pakistan and occupies second position after wheat. It covers traditional rice growing areas of the Punjab (61%), Sindh (31%), Baluchistan (4%) and high altitude valleys in the North West Frontier province (4%). It is a very important source of foreign exchange earning giving about US \$ 1122 millions annually through its export. In Pakistan, rice is grown on an area of 2.58 million hectares, with an annual production of 5.438 million tons having average yield of 2107 kg ha^{-1} (Anonymous, 2006-07a). Many factors are responsible for increasing yield and quality of crops. Among these proper and balanced application of fertilizers is one of the most important factor contributing towards higher productivity (Ahmad, 1994). Researchers generally agree that with intensive cultivation, the need of K will increase (Awan *et al.*, 2007). In rice cultivation, the farmers are giving much attention only to N fertilization and very often P and K applications are carried out at minimal level, mostly missing K fertilization. This practice of imbalance and inadequate fertilizer application affects the soil productivity in general and particularly depletes the essential nutrients (Cassman *et al.*, 1996).

Soils in Pakistan have mixed mineralogy with dominance of hydrous mica. Other minerals present in these soils are smectite, kaolinite, vermiculite and chlorite.

These soils are generally considered young and rich in K. But some soils have been subjected to weathering and thus contain secondary minerals (Ali *et al.*, 2005). These secondary minerals may affect the availability of K irreversibly fixed it in the interlayer and wedge sides of soil clay and remained unavailable to growing plants (Arshad and Akram, 1999). During weathering, physical disintegration of mica into clay-size fractions resulted in replacement and release of interlayer K by more hydrated cations (Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , or Na^+). Evidence of particle size reduction has been found in alluvial soils of Gujranwala, Lyallpur (Faisalabad), and Burhan series (Akhtar, 1989). The amount of K fixed increases with added K, whereas the present K fixed relative to total added K decreases (Bouabid *et al.*, 1991). Fixation of K fertilizers may affect its recovery to crops. About 23 to 86 % of the applied K can be fixed and its fixation increases with increasing clay contents, lime and amount of K applied (Mehdi and Ranjha, 1995). Fauji Fertilizer Company's mobile soil testing labs have analysed the status of available nutrients including K, and generalize that more than 30 % of Pakistani soils are low in available K and require potash application (Akhtar *et al.*, 2003). One of the reasons of low efficiency of fertilizer for enhancing crop productivity is imbalance use of applied nutrients. The ratio of potassium and nitrogen fertilizer used in Pakistan (0.02:1) is far below than that used in other countries of the world i.e. Japan (3.99:1), UK (0.37:1), Netherlands (0.30:1), China (0.15:1) and India (0.14:1) (Akhtar *et al.*, 2003). In

Pakistani Punjab, use of potash was recorded 0.9 million tonnes in 2001-02 which was 0.1 million tonnes lower than the previous year, whereas, in Indian Punjab, its use was 3.6 million tonnes in 2001-02 which was 0.7 million tonnes higher than last year (FAI, 2002).

Problem of K fixation can be reduced to some extent and efficiency may be improved by different K application methods. Also sources of K and their time of application may affect the K recovery. Ravi and Rao (1992) and Samrathlal *et al.* (2003) claimed that grain and straw yields of rice crop were increased significantly owing to potash fertilization to the level of 62.5 kg ha⁻¹ when applied in two equal splits. Stevens *et al.*, (2001) also claimed that the highest yield of Baldo rice was obtained when potash was applied in two splits at mid season. Ali *et al.*, (2005) reported that among the different methods and time of potash application treatments maximum paddy yield was obtained from the treatment where whole of potash was broadcasted at 25 DAT. Awan *et al.* (2007) while performing field experiments across six districts of Punjab concluded that potash application @ 62.5 kg ha⁻¹ in two splits ½ as basal and ½ at 25 DAT resulted in more number of grains panicle⁻¹, highest 1000 grain weight and maximum paddy yield. Nutrient management practices determine the sustainability of the most intensively cropped systems (Flinn and DeDatta, 1984; Flinn *et al.*, 1982). However the practice of correct dose and timely application of fertilizer nutrients plays an important role in efficient use of fertilizers. At times, the indiscriminate and improper application with unfavourable conditions may not provide adequate nutrients supply because of its poor absorption and translocation in plant system. So the present investigation was planned to find out the best suited scheme / timing of potassium fertilizer application for rice crop.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experiments were conducted at the experimental area of Rice Research Institute, Kala Shah Kaku, Lahore, Pakistan during the kharif seasons of two successive years 2006 & 2007 to investigate the most appropriate time for split application of potash fertilizer for rice. The physiochemical properties of experimental site are given in table 1.

The nursery of rice variety Super Basmati was sown on 12th June and was transplanted on 12th July for the year 2006 and was sown on 7th June and transplanted on 7th July for the year 2007. Urea was applied @ 0.25 kg marla⁻¹ to nursery at 15 DAS (days after sowing) in both years. For both the successive years 2006 & 2007 experiments were laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with three replications. The plot size was kept 6 m x 9 m (54 m²). Nitrogen, phosphorus and potash were applied @ 133-85-62 kg ha⁻¹ respectively to the field where rice was transplanted.

Table 1: Some physical and chemical properties of the soil used for the study

Parameter	0-6 (Inch Depth)	6-12 (Inch Depth)
E.C. (mS cm ⁻¹)	1.1	0.9
Soil pH	7.6	8.2
Organic Matter (% age)	0.77	0.50
Nitrogen % (ppm)	0.039	0.025
Available Phosphorous (ppm)	9.3	8.3
Available Potash (ppm)	80	65
Saturation (% age)	40	38
Texture	Clay Loam	Clay Loam

Urea was applied for nitrogen, diammonium phosphate (DAP) for phosphorus and sulphate of potash (SOP) for potassium. Whole phosphorus along with 1/3 dose of nitrogen were applied at transplanting time as basal where as remaining nitrogen was applied in two equal splits i.e. 1/3 at 25 DAT (maximum tillering stage) and 1/3 at 45 DAT (panicle initiation stage) to all treatments while potash was applied according to treatment schedule given in table 2 in both years. ZnSO₄ (35 % Zn) was applied @ 12.5 kg ha⁻¹ as source of zinc at 12 DAT to eliminate Zn deficiency. The other management practices were kept same for both years. In each of the two successive years crop was harvested in the second week of November.

Table 2: Scheme of potash application

Treatment	Time of potash fertilizer application
T ₁	Potash applied @ 62 kg ha ⁻¹ as basal
T ₂	Potash applied @ 62 kg ha ⁻¹ at 25 DAT (Days after transplanting)
T ₃	Potash applied @ 62 kg ha ⁻¹ at 45 DAT
T ₄	Potash applied @ 62 kg ha ⁻¹ , ½ as basal and ½ at 25 DAT
T ₅	Potash applied @ 62 kg ha ⁻¹ , ½ at 25 DAT and ½ at 45 DAT
T ₆	Potash applied @ 62 kg ha ⁻¹ , 1/3 as basal, 1/3 at 25 DAT and 1/3 at 45 DAT

Data regarding plant height, number of tillers hill⁻¹ and panicle length were recorded at crop maturity while data relating number of grains panicle⁻¹, 1000 grain weight and paddy yield were recorded at harvest. Data were analyzed using Fisher's analysis of variance technique and treatment means were compared with standard (control) by Least Significant Difference (LSD) test (Steel *et al.*, 1997). The differences were only considered when significant at p ≤ 0.05. The weather data of maximum & minimum temperature (°C), total rainfall (mm) and relative humidity (%) for the years 2006 & 2007 is presented in table 3 (Anonymous, 2006-07b).

Table 3: Weather data for the years 2006 & 2007 of rice season

Parameter	Year	May	June	July	August	September	October	November
Mean Maxi. Temp. (°C)	2006	39.5	37.8	35.0	34.1	32.2	31.6	26.0
	2007	38.9	38.3	35.3	35.4	33.9	32.5	27.5
Mean Mini. Temp. (°C)	2006	27.4	26.8	27.6	26.9	24.9	21.6	16.1
	2007	26.2	28.1	26.9	27.7	25.5	19.8	14.9
Total Rain Fall (MM)	2006	22.4	87.9	185.5	145.7	157.6	46.0	9.0
	2007	19.1	196.9	81.7	101.7	75.8	0.0	10.2
Humidity at 0800 AM (%)	2006	51	52	76	79	76	74	81
	2007	43	59	76	76	76	67	81
Humidity at 0800 PM (%)	2006	28	32	61	67	57	49	55
	2007	25	40	58	65	59	35	52

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The data regarding different yield and yield relating traits are presented in Table 4. As the results obtained during two years were similar therefore these were pooled. The mean data of two years 2006 & 2007 are presented in Table 4 showed that longest panicle (28.74cm) along with highest 1000 grain weight (20.91 gm) were obtained from the treatment where potash was applied in two equal splits ½ at 25 DAT and ½ at 45 DAT (T5) which was statistically at par with the treatment where whole of potash was applied at 25 DAT (T2) and were significantly different from other treatments. The smallest panicles having length 27.97 and least 1000 grain weights 19.86 gm were obtained from T₁ where whole potash was applied as basal. This increase in panicle length and in 1000 grain weight may be due to continuous supply of K to the crop during crop growth stages. The efficient potash uptake by rice plant results in better growth and development when applied at maximum tillering stage (25 DAT) and at panicle initiation stage (45 DAT). Similarly results were reported by Sarkar *et al.* (1995) and Raju *et al.* (1999) Samrathlal *et al.* (2003).

Rice plant produced more number of grains per panicle (103.80) by applying potash in two splits ½ at 25 DAT and ½ at 45 DAT (T₃) which was similar with T₂ and T₄. The lowest value of number of grains per panicle (100.55) was recorded in T₁ where whole of potash was applied as basal. The increase in number of grains per panicle might be due to increased potash uptake efficiency when applied at maximum tillering and at panicle initiation stages. The positive response of potash application on number of grains per panicle in rice crop has also been reported by Smid and Peaslee (1976), Lauchli and Pflugger (1979), Sharma *et al.*, (1980) and Malik *et al.* (1988), Ahmad *et al.* (1994) Ramos *et al.* (1999), and also claimed that split application of potash at active growth stages resulting in adequate potash supply which increased plant photosynthesis rate because potash is required in the activation of starch synthesis and

then conversion of soluble sugars into starch is vital step in the grain filling process.

Maximum paddy yield (3.34 t/ha) was recorded in T₅ where potash was applied in two equal splits ½ at 25 DAT and ½ at 45 DAT, which was statistically higher than T₁, T₃, T₄ and T₆ and at par with T₂ where whole of potash was applied at 25 DAT having paddy yield of 3.16 t/ha. The increased paddy yield of rice due to potassium fertilizer application at recommended rate having two equal splits (half at maximum tillering stage + half at panicle initiation stage) was attributed directly to continuous supply of K to the crop during crop growth stages. The rice plant ultimately proved more beneficial and resulted in a significant increase in panicle length, number of grains per panicle and 1000 grain weight ultimately resulted in maximum paddy yield. Similar findings have been reported by Ravi and Rao (1992); Thakur *et al.* (1999); Dwivedi *et al.* (2000) and Ali *et al.* (2005) who reported that significant increase in paddy yield was recorded when potash was applied in splits at different growth stages over a single application as basal. Samrathlal *et al.* (2003) and Awan *et al.* (2007) also claimed that grain and straw yields of rice crop were increased significantly due to potash fertilization to the level of 62.5 kg K ha⁻¹ when applied in two equal splits. The analysis of variance of mean square of yield and yield related components presented in table 5 indicated a non significant difference observed among the replications for the characters studied. Whereas a significant difference was found among treatments for panicle length, no. of grains panicle⁻¹, 1000 grain weight and paddy yield.

The study suggests that basal application of potash (traditional method) may be replaced by a late application of potash at 25 DAT (maximum tillering stage) fore single split application in rice crop. For getting maximum benefit, split application of potash in two splits ½ at 25 DAT and remaining ½ at 45 DAT may be promoted. However, it needs further investigation in subsequent studies, involving a greater number of rice varieties to formulate a general recommendation.

Table: 4 Effect of split application of potash fertilizer on yield and yield components of rice crop (mean of two years 2006 & 2007).

Tr. No.	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers / hill	Panicle length (cm)	No of grains/ panicle	1000 grain weight (gm)	Paddy yield (t/ha)
T ₁	125.83	19.19	27.97 ^c	100.55 ^c	19.86 ^c	2.86 ^c
T ₂	126.34	19.94	28.44 ^{ab}	103.23 ^{ab}	20.55 ^{ab}	3.16 ^{ab}
T ₃	126.00	19.24	28.10 ^{bc}	101.58 ^{bc}	20.10 ^{bc}	2.97 ^{bc}
T ₄	126.17	19.63	28.26 ^{bc}	102.08 ^{abc}	20.29 ^{bc}	3.04 ^{bc}
T ₅	126.62	20.22	28.74 ^a	103.80 ^a	20.91 ^a	3.34 ^a
T ₆	126.07	19.42	28.19 ^{bc}	101.69 ^{bc}	20.18 ^{bc}	2.99 ^{bc}
LSD Value	0.8630	1.044	0.4638	1.833	0.5113	0.2818

Means having different letters in a column differ significantly ($p \leq 0.05$)

T₁ = Potash applied @ 62 kg ha⁻¹ as basal

T₂ = Potash applied @ 62 kg ha⁻¹ at 25 DAT

T₃ = Potash applied @ 62 kg ha⁻¹ at 45 DAT

T₄ = Potash applied @ 62 kg ha⁻¹ 1/2 as basal & 1/2 at 25 DAT

T₅ = Potash applied @ 62 kg ha⁻¹ 1/2 at 2 & 1/2 at 45 DAT

T₆ = Potash applied @ 62 kg ha⁻¹ 1/3 as basal, 1/3 at 25 DAT & remaining 1/3 at 45 DAT as basal

Table: 5 Analysis of variance table of means square of yield and yield components

Source	DF	Plant height (cm)	No. of tillers/hills	Panicle length (cm)	No. of grains/ panicle	1000-grain weight (gm)	Paddy yield (t/ha)
Replications	2	0.281 ^{ns}	0.031 ^{ns}	0.124 ^{ns}	0.728 ^{ns}	0.101 ^{ns}	0.002 ^{ns}
Treatments	5	0.235 ^{ns}	0.499 ^{ns}	0.226 [*]	4.190 [*]	0.411 [*]	0.085 [*]
Error	10	0.225	0.329	0.065	1.015	0.079	0.024

ns= Non significant, * = $P > 0.05$, ** = $P > 0.01$

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, S., A. M. Ranjha and T. Waheed. (1994). Long term effect of ammonium chloride application in comparison with conventional nitrogen sources for rice production. JAPS. 4 (1-2): 1-3.
- Ali, A. M.S. Zia, F. Hussain, M. Salim, I.A. Mahmood and A. Shahzad (2005). Efficacy of different methods of potassium fertilizer application on paddy yield, K uptake and agronomic efficiency. Pakistan J. Agri. Sci. 42 (1-2): 27-32.
- Akhtar, M.E., M. T. Saleem and M. D. Stauffer. (2003). "Potassium in Pakistan Agriculture". Pak. Agri. Res. Council, Islamabad. Page 9, 10 and 50.
- Akhtar, M.S. (1989). Soil mineralogy and potassium quantity/ intensity relations in the alluvial soils from Pakistan. Dept. Soil and Crop Sciences, Texas A&M University, Ph.D. Dissertation.
- Anonymous (2006-07a). Pakistan Economic Survey, Government of Pakistan, Finance Division, Economic Advisor's Wing, Islamabad.pp.19
- Anonymous (2006-07b). Weather data from May-Nov 2006 & 2007. Government of Punjab. Department of metrology, 46 Shahdaman, Jail Road Lahore.
- Arshad, M. and A. Akram (1999). Soil fertility problems in central Rachna Doab, Pakistan J. Bio. Sci, 2(4): 1355-1357.
- Awan, T.H.; Z. Manzoor; M.E. Safdar and M. Ahmad. (2007). Yield response of rice to dynamic use of potassium in traditional rice growing area of Punjab. Pakistan J. Agri. Sci., 44 (1): 130-135.
- Bouabid, R., M. Badraoui and P.R. Bloom (1991). Potassium fixation and charge characteristics of soil clays. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 55: 1493-1498.
- Cassman, K.G., Dobermann, P.C. StaCruz, G.C. Gines, M.I. Samson, J.P. Descalsota, J.M. Alcantara, M.A. Dizon and D.C. Oik (1996). Soil organic matter and the indigenous nitrogen supply of intensive irrigated rice systems in the tropics. Plant and Soil, 182:267-287.
- Dwivedi, A. P., R. S. Dixit, S. P. Sing and I. I. Kumar (2000). Response of hybrid rice to N, P and K levels, (in) Extended Summaries of National Symposium on Agronomy: Challenges and Strategies for the New Millenium, held during 15-18 November 2000 at ' Gujarat Agricultural University Campus, Junagarh, p 38.
- FAI (2002). Annual review of fertilizer production and consumption (2001-02), Fertilizer News, September 2002. The Fertilizer Association of India New Delhi.
- Flinn, J. C. and S. K. DeDatta (1984). Trend in irrigated rice yield under intensive cropping at Philippine Research Station. Field Crops Res., 9: 1-15.

- Flinn, J.C., S.K. DeDatta and E. Labadan (1982). An analysis of long term rice yield in a wet land soil. *Field Crops Res.*, 5: 201-216.
- Lauchli, A. and R. Pfluger (1979). In: *Potassium Research Review and Trends*. Intl. Potash Inst., Bern, Switzerland. pp 111-163.
- Malik, M.N.A., S.U. Din and M.I. Makhdum (1988). Effect of muriate and sulphate of potash on the growth and yield components of late sown wheat. *Sarhad J. Agri.*, 4(5): 565-569.
- Mehdi, SM and A.M. Ranjha (1995). Effect of texture, lime and temperature on fixation of applied Potassium. *PJAS*. 32(2-3): 153-159.
- Raju, R. A., K. S. Reddy and M. N. Reddy (1999). Potassium fertilization in rice (*Oryza Saliva L.*) on vertisols of Godavari flood plains. *Indian J. of Agron.* 44(1): 99-101.
- Ramos, D.G., J.P. Descalsota and G.O. Sen Valentin (1999). Efficiency of foliar applications of mono potassium, phosphate. Terminal Report submitted to the Funding Agency, ROTEM AMFERT NEGEV. By Phil Rice Philippines.
- Ravi, K. and K. Rama Rao (1992). Studies on levels and times of application of Potassium for kharif rice (*Oryza sativa L.*). *The Andhra Agri. J.* 30 (1-2) : 74-76
- Samrathlal, M., S. Surendra and Y.S. Shivay (2003). Response of hybrid rice (*Oryza Sativa*) to nitrogen and potassium application in sandy clay-loam soils. *Indian J. of Agri. Sci.* 73(1): 8-11.
- Sarkar, R. K., B. Bhattacharya, A. M. Chakraborty, S. Rana and C. Satpathy (1995). Response of rice to N and K application in Gangetic alluvial soils of West Bengal. *J. Potassium Res.* 11(3): 297-301.
- Sharma, R.C., M. Singh and K.C. Sud (1980). Relative response of the potato to three sources of potassium. *Indian J. Agron.* 21 (4): 241-248.
- Smid, A.E. and D.E. Peaslee (1976). Growth and CO₂ assimilation by corn as related to K nutrition and stimulated canopy shading. *Argon. J.*, 68:904.
- Steel, R.G.D., J. H. Torrie and D.A. Dickey (1997). *Principles and procedures of statistics* (3rd Ed.). MC Graw Hill Book Co; Singapore pp. 172-177.
- Stevens, G., A. Wrather, C. Moylan and A. Sheckel (2001). Effects of Potash on Baldo, Lagrue and Bengal Rice. Information from 2000 Missouri Rice Research Update, February 2001.
- Thakur D.S., S. R. Patel and Nageshwarlal (1999). Effect of split application of Potassium-with FYM on rice (*Oryza saliva*). *Indian J. of Agron.* 44(2): 301-304.